
Exhibit 22



HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

ONE MAIN STREET 4TH FLOOR CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142 TELEPHONE (617) 482-3700 FACSIMILE (617) 482-3003

700 SOUTH FLOWER STREET SUITE 2940 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 TELEPHONE (213) 330-7150 FACSIMILE (213) 330-7152

820 NORTH BOULEVARD SUITE B OAK PARK, IL 60302 TELEPHONE (708) 776-5600 FACSIMILE (708) 776-5601

2425 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD SUITE 650 PHOENIX, AZ 85016 TELEPHONE (602) 840-5900 FACSIMILE (602) 840-3012

715 HEARST AVENUE SUITE 202 BERKELEY, CA 94710 TELEPHONE (510) 725-3000 FACSIMILE (510) 725-3001

1301 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2900 SEATTLE, WA 98101 TELEPHONE (206) 623-7292 FACSIMILE (206) 623-0594

WWW.HBSSLAW.COM

Table of C	Contents	
The Firm		1
	r Successes	3
	idge Cases	4
The Practi		
	n Overview of Current Litigation	7 7
Sp	pecial Experience with Pharmaceutical Industry Litigation	11
	erman vs. Big Tobacco	13
	storical Perspective of Major Litigation	
	by Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	15
Securities	Litigation	17
	ental Litigation	20
Partners		
Ste	eve W. Berman	22
	eniphr A.E. Breckenridge	23
	bbert B. Carey	23
	zabeth A. Fegan	24
	in K. Flory	25
	eed R. Kathrein	25
	ean R. Matt	26
	avid P. Moody	26
	avid S. Nalven	27
	dward Notargiacomo	27
	nristopher A. O'Hara	28
	eorge W. Sampson	28
	nthony D. Shapiro	29
	omas M. Sobol	29
	raig R. Spiegel	30
	ffrey T. Sprung	31
	ndrew M. Volk	31
	ler S. Weaver	32
Of Counse		
	ffrey D. Friedman	33
	cholas Styant-Browne	33
Associate		
	onard W. Aragon	34
	/ D. Arai	34
	uren Guth Barnes	35
	aine T. Byszewski	35
	mberly Dougherty	36
	eve Fimmel	36
	ebra A. Gaw	36
	e M. Gordon	37
	a M. Hasselman	38
	aniel Kurowski	38
	ffrey A. Lang	38
	obert F. Lopez Irbara A. Mahoney	39
	•	39
	nothy P. Mahoney Tegory H. Matthews	40
	artin D. McLean	40
	ego Rodriguez	41
	ana E. Scarlett	41
	onnie Seidel Spiegel	41
NO	and colder spieger	42

Shayne C. Stevenson	42
Andrew St. John	43
Genessa A. Stout	43
Amy M. Wilkins	44
References	45
A Perspective on Our Performance from the Bench	46

The Firm

Based in Seattle, Washington, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP** (HBSS) was founded in 1993 with one purpose - to pursue the type of law that most interested the founders. This involved representing plaintiffs in class actions and multi-party, large-scale complex litigation and becoming engaged in cases that had the potential for having a positive impact on protecting the rights of investors, consumers, workers, and the environment.

Since then, the firm has stayed true to that purpose and become one of the nation's leading firms in these fields, earning an international reputation for excellence and innovation.

Our Focus

Our main focus is to represent plaintiffs in securities, investment fraud, product liability, tort, antitrust, consumer fraud, employment, environmental, and ERISA cases. In doing so, our firm has become particularly skilled at managing multi-state and nationwide class actions through an organized, coordinated approach that implements an efficient and aggressive prosecutorial strategy in order to place maximum pressure on the defendant. In the last two years the firm has been rated by the National Law Journal in the top ten of plaintiffs' firms in the country.

We Win

Lawyers in the field of mass tort and class action litigation are often accused of acting in their own interests or settling cheaply. HBSS believes that our excellence stems from a commitment to try each case and obtain maximum recovery for our clients. Our opponents know this and often seek to thwart HBSS' appointment as a lead counsel.

Winning is especially important to HBSS because our compensation depends so much on our performance. We devote approximately 95 percent of our time to litigation under fee agreements that tie our pay to the results we achieve, not to the hours we bill. We have developed innovative contingent and flat fee arrangements with many clients, including partial contingent fees that reduce our hourly rates for a stake in the outcome. We have even used reverse contingent fee arrangements for defending cases where the amount we saved our clients determined our compensation.

Working at our own risk and expense encourages efficient work habits. That efficient approach carries over to our hourly business. The quality of our service, the lack of duplication of effort, access to your lawyers, and our ability to gear up quickly to handle your case all make selecting HBSS a cost-effective decision.

Our Offices

As we have flourished over the years, we have increased our national presence through a network of branch offices in Boston, Phoenix, Los Angeles, Chicago and San Francisco.

Founded in 1995, our Phoenix office has driven important litigation and has a strong legal presence in Arizona and the southwest. Shortly thereafter in 1996, we founded the Los Angeles office, which manages our significant case load in California.

In June 2002, our firm opened an office in the Boston area. Now referred to as the Cambridge office, this office leads our drug litigation efforts, challenging the prices of dozens of prescription drugs, and claiming that manufacturers artificially drove up drug prices through numerous anti-competitive practices.

In 2004, in another step to become the nation's premier law firm in class-action and large-scale litigation, we opened a Chicago branch. The firm first created a presence among Illinois' legal and political scene

with the landmark litigation against the tobacco industry, in which Steve Berman served as special assistant attorney general for the state.

In another large step towards the firm's continued growth and strong national presence, a San Francisco branch was opened in 2007. A great deal of consumer, antitrust and securities work is in the San Francisco courts and this office allows HBSS to become a major presence there.

A Nationwide Reach

The firm expanded its practice to include representing governmental entities, including actions against the tobacco industry and the pharmaceutical industry. In the groundbreaking state tobacco litigation, the firm represented the states of Alaska, Arizona, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Montana, Nevada, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington as special assistant attorneys general in their law enforcement actions against the tobacco industry. Our firm has also served as court-appointed lead class counsel in state and federal litigation in states throughout the country, with heavy caseloads in Arizona, California, Idaho, Illinois, New York, and Washington.

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's lawyers have played leading and major roles in cases that have resulted in total recoveries of more than \$206 billion.

Our Major Successes

STATE OF WASHINGTON, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL.

HBSS represented 13 states in the largest recovery in litigation history (\$206 billion recovery).

IN RE VISA-MASTERCARD ANTITRUST LITIGATION

HBSS served as co-lead counsel in the largest antitrust settlement in history – valued at \$27 billion.

ENRON ERISA LITIGATION

HBSS is co-lead counsel in the ERISA litigation that has recovered in excess of \$250 million, the largest ERISA settlement in history.

TENET HEALTHCARE LITIGATION

The firm obtained a settlement – the first of its kind – on behalf of a class of 2 million uninsured patients in 19 different states. Based on novel theories upheld by the court, HBSS helped create a first of its kind settlement recently featured in the National Law Journal.

DRAM ANTITRUST LITIGATION

HBSS was co-lead counsel and the case settled for \$345 million resulting in a recovery for purchasers of DRAM.

LUPRON SETTLEMENT

A \$150 million settlement on behalf of patients using Lupron for prostate cancer.

RELAFEN SETTLEMENT

A \$75 million settlement in favor of plaintiffs who purchased Relafen.

HUNGARIAN GOLD TRAIN SETTLEMENT

HBSS filed a class-action suit against the United States on behalf of Hungarian Holocaust survivors. The suit claimed the plaintiffs' valuable personal property was loaded on a train by the Hungarian Nazi government during the waning days of WWII. The United States Army later seized the train and its contents. The suit contends that the property was never returned to its owners or heirs, and was instead unlawfully appropriated by the U.S.

The settlement in the case of *Rosner*, et al. v. United States creates a \$25.5 million settlement fund, and provides for a statement by the United States government acknowledging the events surrounding the Gold Train property. Because of the passage of time, lost documentation, and lack of an inventory, there is limited information about the specific items that were on the Gold Train and taken into U.S. custody in 1945. Thus it is very hard to fairly assess and compensate individual class members based on their personal losses. Therefore, the U.S. government and representatives for the class have agreed that a minimum of \$21 million in the settlement fund be used to augment existing social welfare programs for Hungarian victims of Nazi persecution. The settlement agreement also allocates \$500,000 to fund and create an archival collection of information and artifacts for the benefit of the class and other educational purposes.

Leading Edge Cases

CB RICHARD ELLIS SEXUAL HARASSMENT LITIGATION. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro filed a class-action lawsuit against CB Richard Ellis, Inc. on behalf of a group of female employees. Filed in U.S. District Court in Illinois, the suit claims that the company has perpetuated a climate of severe sexual harassment against its female employees. According to the complaint, CB Richard Ellis discriminates against female employees by subjecting them to a hostile, intimidating and offensive work environment, which has resulted in emotional distress and other physical and economic injuries to the class.

HBSS negotiated an innovative and unprecedented settlement of a nationwide class action alleging sexual harassment over an eight-year period on behalf of 16,000 current and former female employees of a commercial property brokerage firm. In addition to requiring changes to human resources policies and procedures, the settlement affords class members the opportunity to participate in a stream-lined claims process that provides the potential for individual awards up to \$150,000 per class member. The "process-based" settlement, adjudicated by an independent and neutral Special Master, will award a range of damages to claimants who can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Special Master that they were subjected to unlawful harassment. Claims are subject to certain monetary caps depending on a claimant's elections regarding the extent of the process in which they wish to participate and the level of confidentiality their claim will be afforded. Additionally, under the terms of the settlement agreement, the company agreed to several human resources measures. These activities include amending or tightening existing harassment policies, implementing enhanced training for all employees, increasing supervisor accountability to address sexually inappropriate conduct in the workplace, enhancing record-keeping practices, and conducting two annual reviews of settlement compliance by a court appointed monitor.

DRAM MANUFACTURERS LITIGATION. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro has filed a suit on behalf of purchasers of DRAM (Dynamic Random Access Memory) from Micron Technology, Crucial Technologies, Infineon Technologies, Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and Samsung Electronics, claiming the companies secretly agreed to reduce supply of DRAM in order to artificially raise prices.

According to the suit, the companies conduct caused Michael Dell, founder and CEO of Dell Computers, to state during a news conference, "I think we saw cartel-like behavior by a couple of DRAM suppliers."

HBSS won an appointment as co-lead counsel in a contested hearing and the case settled for \$345 million.

PFIZER LITIGATION (CELEBREX). Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro has filed a lawsuit on behalf of consumers who purchased the drug Celebrex. The suit claims that the drug's distributor, Pfizer, Inc., launched an aggressive and misleading marketing campaign to promote Celebrex, while failing to warn consumers that the drug poses risks of blood clots, heart attack, stroke, and other cardiovascular problems.

According to the complaint, Celebrex ads overstate its safety by saying that it provides effective pain relief without the side effects inherent to similar drugs. The misleading ads drove the demand and price of Celebrex beyond what it would have been, had Pfizer truthfully disclosed the drug's risks, the suit states.

Pfizer's misrepresentation of Celebrex is apparent in the process it used to gain approval by the FDA, the complaint alleges. The suit claims that despite Pfizer's prior knowledge that Celebrex posed serious heart risks, it chose to downplay these risks and push the drug on claims that it improves gastrointestinal safety. To support these claims, in 1998 Pfizer funded a clinical CLASS trial to show that Celebrex has greater gastrointestinal safety than traditional pain relievers such as aspirin and ibuprofen. The suit also contends that in Pfizer's plan to gain swift FDA approval, it did not conduct any significant tests on cardiovascular safety, or publish any data on cardiovascular events from the CLASS trial. Therefore, having only

reviewed the results of the gastrointestinal safety tests, the FDA approved Celebrex for the relief of osteoarthritis in December 1998, the suit states.

Once it gained approval, Pfizer's advertising campaign proved very profitable, the suit claims. Though the company spent more than \$400 million on direct-to-consumer advertising for Celebrex, sales have yielded billions of dollars, including \$2.3 billion for the first three quarters of 2004, the lawsuit states.

TENET HEALTHCARE. HBSS pioneered this suit with claims against Tenet Healthcare Corporation. Originally filed in December 2002, the lawsuit claimed that patients not covered by insurance plans were charged excessive prices at 114 hospitals owned and operated by Tenet subsidiaries in 16 different states.

The settlement class includes any uninsured patient who received medically necessary services at any of its hospitals between June 15, 1999 and December 31, 2004, and paid for services based on the hospital's gross charges. Under the terms of the proposed settlement, Tenet has agreed to refund amounts paid in excess of certain thresholds.

INTEL ANTITRUST LITIGATION. HBSS has filed the nation's first proposed class-action lawsuit against Intel on behalf of consumers, claiming the microprocessor giant has unlawfully maintained a monopoly by engaging in a relentless, worldwide campaign to coerce customers to refrain from dealing with AMD, another microprocessor manufacturer.

According to the suit, consumers ultimately foot this bill, in the form of inflated PC prices and the loss of freedom to purchase computer products that best fit their needs.

The proposed class action includes all United States residents who purchased a microprocessor in the United States indirectly from Intel from June 29, 2001 through the present.

HBSS is one of the co-lead counsel.

STARBUCKS ANTITRUST LITIGATION. HBSS is litigating an antitrust claim against Starbucks Corporation for its alleged monopolization of the retail coffee market in Class-A buildings in downtown Bellevue and Seattle. The plaintiff is a small coffee retailer who has attempted to enter the market but has allegedly been prevented from doing so by Starbucks' dominant presence and use of exclusive-dealing arrangements that are designed to prevent competitors from entering the market.

CAREMARK LITIGATION. The class action lawsuit alleges that Caremark Rx. Inc., concealed revenues derived from drug manufacturers to avoid paying commissions to its marketing consultants. Caremark and its predecessor companies provide pharmaceutical and formulary services to corporate health plans, discount card programs, managed care organizations, insurance companies, labor unions, and governmental agencies. Caremark then contracts with drug manufacturers to sell the manufacturers' drugs to its clients. In return, Caremark receives rebates, fees, and other revenue streams from the manufacturers

HBSS represented a marketing consultant who alleged that Caremark failed to honor its contractual obligations to pay its marketing consultants a percentage of total revenues or a set rate for each drug purchased. The complaint further alleged that Caremark paid commissions based on partial revenues and deliberately hid certain revenues to avoid paying proper commissions. The case settled amicable in September 2007.

INSURANCE LITIGATION. HBSS has pioneered theories to ensure that in first and third party contexts consumers and insureds always receive the treatment and benefits to which they are entitled. We have certified several cases, including those where we secured expanded coverage owed and therefore more benefits because of a statutory violation, where we seek to recover for underpayments of benefits based on software programs, where we seek a return if uninsured/underinsured premiums received because if misleading tactics of the insurer. In addition, on individual cases, we have taken insurers to

trial and recovered millions of dollars for our clients by way of verdict for bad faith, punitive damages, wage losses, and medical and rehabilitative care.

SEXUAL ABUSE LITIGATION. HBSS has represented a wide spectrum of individuals who have been the victims of sexual abuse, including children and developmentally disabled adults. We treat each case individually, with compassion and attention to detail and have the expertise, resources and track record to stand up to the toughest opponents. In the area of sexual abuse, our attorneys have obtained recordbreaking verdicts, including the largest personal injury verdict ever upheld by an appellate court in the State of Washington.

NURSING HOME NEGLIGENCE. Nursing home negligence is a growing problem throughout the nation. As our population ages, reports of elder abuse and nursing home negligence continue to rise. Today, elder abuse is one of the most rapidly escalating social problems in our society. HBSS is uniquely qualified to represent the victims of elder abuse and nursing home negligence. Our attorneys have secured record-breaking settlements in this area of the law and are committed to holding nursing homes accountable.

SOCIAL WORK NEGLIGENCE. Social workers play a critical role in the daily lives of our state's most vulnerable citizens. Social workers, assigned to protect children, developmentally disabled and elderly adults are responsible for critical aspects in the lives of tens of thousands of citizens who are unable to protect themselves.

Many social workers do a fine job. Tragically, many do not. When a social worker fails to monitor and protect his/her vulnerable client, the results are often catastrophic. All too often, the failure to protect a child or disabled citizen leads to injury or sexual victimization by predators.

With over \$40 million in recoveries on behalf of vulnerable citizens who were neglected by social workers, the Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro Personal Injury Group is the most experienced, successful and knowledgeable group of attorneys in this dynamic area of the law.

CLEAR CHANNEL ANTITRUST LITIGATION. HBSS obtained class certification in five regional test cases on behalf of purchasers of tickets to live rock concerts promoted by Clear Channel Communications Inc. or its successor Live Nation. Prosecuting 22 regional cases filed nationwide, HBSS is leading the fight against the nation's largest media and entertainment companies, which used their market dominance to illegally inflate ticket prices to live rock concerts across the country. The lawsuits assert that Clear Channel used anticompetitive, monopolistic practices to coerce artists into using the company's promotional services. The suits allege that Clear Channel's unlawful leveraging of its economic strength in the FM radio business obligates artists who would otherwise turn to other concert promoters to use Clear Channel's promotion services.

The Practice

AN OVERVIEW OF CURRENT LITIGATION

ANTITRUST LITIGATION. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro works to keep marketplaces free of price fixing and collusion, protecting the availability of high quality, low priced goods. The firm's antitrust practice includes nationally certified class actions against manufacturers of disposable contact lenses and a high-profile case in which the Justice Department has commenced parallel proceedings challenging the charges imposed by **Visa** and **MasterCard** in connection with use of the debit card.

Microsoft honored the firm by selecting **Hagens Berman** to represent the company in antitrust litigation. The firm served as national counsel in more than 100 class actions currently faced by the company.

In another major antitrust action the firm was named lead trial counsel in the case of **Information** Resources, Inc. v. A.C. Nielsen and the case was settled thereafter

Other major antitrust cases include DRAM, Intel, SRAM, Flash Memory and Korean Air.

CIVIL RIGHTS. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro actively seeks out complex civil rights cases, taking on the role of advocate for a variety of individuals and organizations. The firm vigilantly keeps abreast of new state and national legislation that allows it to better represent its clients, including diverse communities such as World War II prisoners of war and conscripted civilians.

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro led a team of lawyers in the Hungarian Gold Train case. The firm's involvement follows from its representation of former forced and enslaved laborers for German companies in the Nazi Slave Labor Litigation.

In conjunction with the Trial Lawyers for Public Justice, the firm recently won a settlement from city officials after filing a class action claiming violation of the First and Fourth Amendments. Tens of thousands of Seattle citizens became targets during their peaceful protest of the WTO convention on December 1, 1999. After Seattle officials banned any form of peaceful protest, Seattle police attacked anyone found in the designated "no protest" zones with rubber bullets and tear gas, arresting and incarcerating city residents for three to four days. A jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiffs.

Riot Police Douse Peaceful Protesters with Pepper Spray

Riot Police Confront Protestors on Downtown Seattle Streets






In alphabetical order.

CONSUMER LITIGATION. Using large-scale litigation to pressure defendants who defraud or take advantage of customers, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** pursues opportunities to confront deceptive advertising practices, financing and insurance scams, and redlining practices, among other consumer fraud techniques. Consumer litigation remains one of the firm's largest practice areas, with attorneys representing millions of consumers in numerous actions.

DRUG LITIGATION. The firm actively engages in litigation that improves the quality of health products and medical systems, and confronts unscrupulous medical distributors and producers of products such as pharmaceutical drugs, herbal supplements and beauty products. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** frequently partners with consumer interest and senior organizations to challenge false advertising, pricing schemes, and other drug fraud activities.

Recent successes include reaching a settlement on behalf of all diet drug users in Montana and exerting landmark pressure on **Abbott Laboratories** causing them to send a letter warning laboratories about the risks of false positives in their tests for hCG. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** represents several plaintiffs in important litigation against **Abbott** after false positives erroneously diagnosed plaintiffs with cancer leading to unnecessary treatment including, in some cases, hysterectomies. In 2003, the firm settled a case against **Rexall** representing thousands of women who purchased their cellulite-fighting product "Cellasene." The plaintiffs alleged that the product didn't work, and **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** filed the first case in the nation challenging Cellasene marketing. The FTC recently filed a similar action against Rexall.



In a recent high-profile case, the firm successfully pursued a class action on the adverse environmental impacts of fast ferry service in Washington state. The settlement brought relief to the class members and slowed ferry vessels when traveling in sensitive areas. In other litigation, the firm represented plaintiffs in a case against **Kerr-McGee**, on behalf of thousands of persons exposed to radioactive material in a residential area in West Chicago, Illinois.

The firm's Arizona office represented the **Sierra Club** in a case challenging the U.S. Forest Service's approval of a commercial shopping center on the edge of the Grand Canyon. The District Court granted the firm's request to enjoin development of the shopping mall, protecting the Grand Canyon from overdevelopment.

ERISA LITIGATION. The federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) spells out the fiduciary duties that plan trustees owe to participants and beneficiaries in retirement programs such as stock options or 401(k) plans, along with guidelines on disability, medical insurance, and severance pay.

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro specializes in recovering pension and retirement funds lost due to imprudent direction by plan directors, as well as safeguarding the rights of plan participants. Courts have recognized the firm's aptitude in handling large ERISA cases, most recently appointing the firm co-lead counsel in the Enron employee litigation. The firm is also litigating ERISA cases on behalf of employees of IPALCO and Montana Power.

The firm pioneered the discovery of fraud in discounts to employee health plans, representing thousands of **Blue Cross** health insurance plan participants in 10 states who allege that the insurer overcharges participants, obtaining discounts from hospitals but not passing that savings along when calculating copayments.



Washington State Ferry Chinook

The firm's discovery led to a Congressional inquiry concerning the company's billing practices. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** also represents plaintiffs in a proposed class action against **Regence**, breaking new ground in the coverage of contraceptives by health insurance plans.

INSTITUTIONAL INVESTOR LITIGATION. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro provides specialized securities litigation services to public, private and Taft-Hartley pension funds, offering its proprietary and unparalleled asset protection and recovery services to both foreign and domestic institutions. By giving clients the ability to identify, investigate and react to potential wrongdoing by companies in which they invest, the firm enables them to be proactive, not merely reactive.

Recent class actions led by Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro obtained significant settlements. In the Morrison Knudsen case, the firm secured a settlement of approximately 60 percent of the largest estimates of possible losses for class members, while in the Oppenheimer Delta Partners Litigation the class was awarded settlements of approximately 80 percent of possible losses. In the Midisoft case, Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro obtained more than 50 percent of total damages. Numerous other recent cases such as Waste Management and Cendant have obtained hundreds of millions of dollars in settlements and recovered a large percentage of damages for injured shareholders.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LITIGATION. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro has handled numerous cases involving various aspects of intellectual property (IP). Acting on inquiries from individuals and corporations, HBSS has conducted several investigations into the prosecution and defense of IP rights. IP law is designed to protect the property created by intellect, such as designs used in commerce, including inventions, patents, copyright, and trade dress. IP creations also include artistic works and literary works. In recent years, with the development of new electronic technology, advanced and specialized computer programs and Internet commerce, the definition of IP and how to protect the rights of such property has created very complicated and complex business issues. HBSS has successfully prosecuted and defended individuals and corporations in the area of intellectual property.

PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION. When a product fails to meet accepted or advertised standards, the results can be hazardous or even deadly. In such cases, consumers deserve a right to redress. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's** product liability practice represents consumers in variety of product cases including automobile defects, home equipment and defective software.

Firm successes include a settlement involving **Louisiana-Pacific Siding** in which more than 130,000 claims have been paid exceeding \$500 million and a \$925 million settlement in a polybutylene piping case. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** also represents plaintiffs alleging defects in trunk release mechanisms in a case surrounding the death of four children who died locked in the trunk of a car. The firm continues to pursue Ford and Nissan on behalf of consumers with defective accelerators.

SECURITIES LITIGATION. A cornerstone of the firm's practice, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** contests securities fraud in courts across the nation. To prosecute these complex cases, the firm uses highly experienced experts in a variety of fields as an integral part of the prosecution team, expanding the group's expertise in sophisticated financial and accounting issues.

In a high-profile case, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** pursued **Boeing** after a tremendous stock loss resulted from the company allegedly conspiring to conceal production problems and bolster share prices through the conclusion of a stock-swap purchase of **McDonnell Douglas**. After several years of intense discovery and litigation, **Boeing** eventually agreed to a settlement that provided more than \$92 million to recoup investors for their losses.

The firm has also acted as co-lead counsel and plaintiffs' counsel representing investors in class actions for securities violations against a variety of corporations including WPPSS, Boston Chicken, Oppenheimer, PriceCostco, MK Rail, Bonneville Pacific, Mercer International, and Omega Environmental.

WII VACENSEERMANESOROESHAPIROUTP

10

PERSONAL INJURY LITIGATION. Committed to justice and appropriate compensation in issues of personal injury and wrongful death, the firm assists clients in a range of personal injury litigation. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** knows that the health and quality of life of our clients hangs in the balance of the firm's work and has developed an exceptional track record in obtaining significant settlements and awards for the firm's clients.

WHISTEBLOWER LITIGATION. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro represents "whistleblowers" in a variety of industries with a significant number of cases involving clients using the False Claims Act to recover damages suffered by the federal government. Current cases include actions involving fraudulent Medicare billing, defense contractor fraud and distinctive theft cases.

SPECIAL EXPERIENCE IN LITIGATION WITH THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY

For years, HBSS has aggressively pursued pharmaceutical industry litigation, helping lead the fight for more affordable prescription drugs and for a more responsible pharmaceutical and medical device industry. We believe HBSS's pharmaceutical litigation practice is second to none in the nation in terms of expertise, commitment, and landmark results. In recent years, HBSS's aggressive prosecution of pharmaceutical industry litigation amounted to recovery of over *one-half billion dollars in gross settlement funds*. Recently, HBSS was lead counsel in three significant settlements:

NEW ENGLAND CARPENTERS HEALTH BENEFITS FUND V. FIRST DATABANK. HBSS recently negotiated a settlement, preliminarily approved by the United States District Court in the District of Massachusetts, that will result in a *four percent rollback* of prices on hundreds of drugs which represent ninety-five percent of the nation's retail branded drugs. The net impact of this rollback has been estimated to be in the billions of dollars and may lower the retail price for hundreds of brand name drugs, resulting in savings for health plans and consumers who have been overcharged for prescription drugs.

AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE DRUG LITIGATION. HBSS acted as lead trial counsel in a consolidated trial against four defendants. The court returned a verdict in favor of plaintiffs on claims against AstraZeneca and BMS, marking one of the first trial defeats for the pharmaceutical industry. On the heels of that verdict, AstraZeneca settled the consumer class for \$34 million. Each class member may receive *double damages* if they make a claim, a result unprecedented in a class settlement. Settlement with the consumer class was then obtained with BMS, again at double class member damages.

HBSS was the lead negotiator and counsel in a \$70 million settlement with GlaxoSmithKline, the world's second largest pharmaceutical manufacturer, over its role in artificially inflating the Average Wholesale Price that is used as a benchmark for almost all prescription drug sales in the United States. Thirty percent of the settlement will go to consumers, primarily individuals treated for cancer, who incurred copayments based on AWP for certain drugs manufactured by GSK. The remaining seventy percent will go to third party payors, including health plans, HMOs, and other organizations, which purchased the GSK drugs.

SERONO DRUG LITIGATION. On February 14, 2007, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts preliminarily approved a \$24 million settlement negotiated by HBSS that will reimburse a class of consumers and third-party payors, including self-insured employers, health and welfare plans, and insurance companies, for part or all of their purchases of the AIDS drug Serostim. The underlying litigation alleges that Serono, Inc., a global biotechnology company, implemented a scheme to substantially increase the sales of Serostim by duping patients diagnosed with HIV into believing they were suffering from AIDS-wasting and required use of the drug.

In addition to these cases, HBSS is litigating more than two dozen other significant prescription drug and medical device cases involving antitrust and consumer protection claims. The various cases being prosecuted by HBSS challenge many aspects of systemic misconduct by pharmaceutical manufacturers and others in the prescription drug distribution chain, but they all have the same fundamental purposes: to lower prices of prescription drugs, improve access for consumers, and recover funds unfairly paid by governmental and private purchasers around the country. A description of existing and settled leading cases prosecuted by HBSS over the last five years is set forth below.

The following are examples of existing pharmaceutical and medical matters in which HBSS plays a lead role:

ZYPREXA PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION. HBSS is lead counsel for a nationwide class of private third-party payors in litigation against Eli Lilly & Co. This lawsuit challenges Lilly's fraudulent

promotion of the antipsychotic drug Zyprexa and alleges that Lilly engaged in a variety of fraudulent schemes to inflate sales at the expense of consumers and payors.

NEURONTIN MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION. HBSS is the court-appointed liaison counsel for Plaintiffs and a member of the five-firm Plaintiffs Class Steering Committee. This lawsuit against Pfizer and its subsidiary, Parke-Davis, accuses the companies of circumventing FDA regulations to promote scientifically unproven "off-label" use of their drug Neurontin.

BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY

LITIGATION. HBSS is a member of the Plaintiffs Steering Committee and chair of the Purchase Claims Committee in MDL litigation against Merck and Pfizer on behalf of consumers and purchasers of the prescription pain medications Bextra and Celebrex. The suits allege Merck and Pfizer knowingly withheld information of the increased risk associated with the drugs of cardiovascular and other ailments in order to persuade doctors and consumers to purchase the drugs at inflated prices.

NEXIUM LITIGATION. HBSS is lead counsel in litigation against AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals on behalf of purchasers of Nexium, a prescription drug used to treat heartburn. The suits allege AstraZeneca engaged in fraudulent and illegal activities related to the promotion and sale of Nexium, including sham patent infringement litigation against certain generic manufacturers in an attempt to extend the life and sales of Prilosec, Nexium's precursor.

LOVENOX LITIGATION. HBSS is lead counsel in this litigation against Aventis Pharmaceuticals on behalf of end-payors of the anticoagulant Lovenox. The suit alleges Aventis engaged in sham patent infringement litigation against certain generic manufacturers in an attempt to thwart production of, and thus competition from, generic versions of Lovenox from entering the U.S. market.

The following examples show pharmaceutical and medical matters in which HBSS achieved substantial settlements:

LUPRON MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION. This case alleges widespread fraudulent marketing and sales practices against TAP Pharmaceuticals, a joint venture between Abbott Laboratories and Takeda Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and it follows TAP's agreement to pay \$875 million in combined criminal and civil penalties regarding marketing and sales practices for the prostate cancer drug Lupron. In late 2004, a proposed resolution on behalf of consumers and third-party payers of Lupron in the amount of \$150 million was announced.

AUGMENTIN ANTITRUST LITIGATION. HBSS is court-appointed co-lead counsel in this antitrust litigation against Glaxo SmithKline Corporation and its predecessors alleging that GSK engaged in a pattern and practice of sham litigation and fraudulent procurement of a patent relating to the broad spectrum antibiotic, Augmentin. A settlement of \$29 million on behalf of consumers and other payers of Augmentin was recently consummated.

PAXIL DIRECT PURCHASER LITIGATION. HBSS was court-appointed co-lead counsel in this litigation on behalf of direct purchasers of the "blockbuster" selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor Paxil in claims against Glaxo SmithKline Corporation alleging that GSK engaged in sham patent litigation with respect to certain patents, all in an effort to delay competition from the entry of a generic form of the drug. HBSS announced a \$100 million resolution of these claims in 2004.

RELAFEN ANTITRUST LITIGATION. HBSS was court-appointed liaison counsel and the firm has helped spearhead this litigation against Glaxo SmithKline Corporation and its predecessors alleging that GSK fraudulently obtained a patent to prevent a generic version of Relafen, a frequently prescribed brand name pharmaceutical, from coming to market. Litigated for twelve to eighteen months, HBSS announced a proposed \$75 million resolution of end-payor claims in 2004.

Hagens Berman vs. Big Tobacco

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro played a major role in representing state government in litigation against the tobacco industry. Because of the unprecedented nature of this litigation, Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's role and approach is detailed here.

A TRIAL HARDENED LITIGATION TEAM. In the State Attorneys General actions, only two states actually took their cases to trial - Washington and Minnesota. This means that in a government tobacco law enforcement and medical cost recovery action, only two private firms in the entire country have trial experience against the industry - **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** is one of those firms.

In the Washington case, the firm's managing partner Steve Berman was co-lead trial counsel. In this role at trial, he participated in voir dire, delivered the majority of the State's opening statement and presented witnesses, two of whom would become the most important witnesses in the liability phase of the case (Dr. Jack Henningfield, an expert on cigarette design, and Dr. William Farone, former director of research for Philip Morris). Steve also chaired major strategic decisions, and the firm assisted in the preparation of other witnesses presented by the State's other trial lawyers in the case.

AN EMPHASIS ON LAW ENFORCEMENT. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro was instrumental in developing what came to be accepted as the predominant legal tactic to use against the tobacco industry; to wit, emphasizing traditional law enforcement claims such as state consumer protection, antitrust and racketeering laws. Before Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's involvement in the state cases, the predominant claims being pursued by most of the states were traditional tort claims, which proved in many states to be unsuccessful. With the exception of the Idaho case, not a single court in a case where Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro served as private counsel to a state dismissed a state's consumer protection or antitrust claims.

In three states - Arizona, Ohio and Oregon - **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** took the lead in pressing the respective states' racketeering statutes and, as a result, the tobacco defendants were faced with the very real prospect of a profits disgorgement remedy, penalties and, in the case of Ohio, the payment of double damages.

EXCELLENT WITNESS RAPPORT. One of the keys to beating the tobacco industry lies with presenting the proper witnesses, both expert and lay witnesses. With so many states in litigation against the industry, the time and resources of many of the important expert witnesses were taxed. As a result, several witnesses decided to limit their involvement to a small number of states. We found that some of these witnesses most approved of the manner in which **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** assisted in the preparation and presentation of their testimony. Therefore, our firm and the states we worked with became priority clients of these witnesses.

LEGAL RESEARCH AND BRIEFING. With the emphasis on law enforcement claims, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** attorneys built on their already considerable experience utilizing consumer statutes and became experts in consumer protection, antitrust and racketeering laws in the states the firm represented. Because many of these state statutes were patterned after and followed federal law, our expertise with the FTC Act, the Clayton and Sherman Acts, and federal RICO grew. In each state we represented, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** took the lead in opposing defendants' onslaught of motions to dismiss and, where applicable, motions for summary judgment. As the record reflects, we achieved a remarkable degree of success in these endeavors.

LIABILITY DOCUMENT DATABASE. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro helped initiate and coordinate the so-called multi-state document review project. This was instituted to ensure that all relevant documents were produced, reviewed and selected for use by the states in the Attorneys General actions. More than 30 million documents were reviewed under the firm's supervision by 12 lawyers from the firm and more than 40 assistant attorneys general. As a result of these efforts, we have compiled comprehensive liability documents that are readily searchable by employing a variety of search criteria and are easily accessible. In addition, having gone to trial against the industry, we organized the best or "hottest" liability documents in our trial exhibit notebooks.

DE-PRIVILEGING EXPERTISE. Some of the most damaging liability documents for the industry were and are improperly cloaked from discovery by false claims of attorney-client and work product privilege. Many of these documents were brought to light in the Minnesota litigation, but thousands remained. In a coordinated strategy employed across many of the states that **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** represented, we continued the de-privileging of these important documents. This effort required countless hours in hearings with judges and special masters, in addition to extensive briefing.

A FOCUS ON TARGETING MINORS. In tandem with our general law enforcement approach, Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro focused the state legal claims on the industry's deplorable practice of luring children to tobacco use. As part of this focus, in Arizona and Ohio we commissioned an expert survey of adolescents' perception of tobacco advertising in general and, more specifically, on the deception of RJR's Winston 'No Bull/No Additives' campaign. The survey data revealed that the vast majority of minors exposed to the campaign believed that the 'No Additives' slogan meant that the cigarette was either less addicting, safer or less harmful to health (when, of course, this was not true). This campaign was the target of a preliminary injunction motion in the Arizona case that was still pending when the states settled their cases. On March 3, 1998, the FTC announced it settled a claim it had subsequently filed on this issue based on our work in Arizona.

TESTING OUR TRIAL STRATEGY WITH FOCUS GROUP STUDIES. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro conducted numerous focus groups in different states, thus acquiring unparalleled experience with the reactions of potential jurors.

SERVING THE ELECTED CLIENT. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro was chosen, after competitive bidding, as counsel for 13 states, including those with Democratic and Republican leadership. The reason for our retention, in addition to excellent trial skills and complex litigation service, was our sensitivity to the needs of clients who were elected officials.

KEY ROLE IN THE NATIONAL SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS. Steve Berman and Steven Mitchell were both heavily involved in the proposed June 20, 1997 national settlement and the November 1998 final state settlement that settled all of the state's cases for \$206 billion. As such, both have unparalleled experience in negotiating with tobacco industry lawyers and the tobacco companies' CEOs.

TRIAL EXPERIENCE. The trial of the Washington case was one of the largest trials conducted in the United States, lasting three months. Thousands of exhibits were offered into evidence and the case was presented using state-of-the-art technology.

A Short Historical Perspective

(in alphabetical order)

ALDUS LITIGATION. The firm acted as co-lead counsel for shareholders in an action settled for payment of up to \$10.6 million to Aldus shareholders.

EGGHEAD LITIGATION. In two separate cases, the firm acted as lead counsel for shareholders injured as a result of misrepresentations made in connection with Egghead's initial public offering of common stock, and secondary trading in Egghead stock.

FOODMAKER LITIGATION. The firm acted as co-lead counsel after Foodmaker's stock declined in reaction to the Jack-in-the-Box poisoning outbreak. The discovery work done by the firm was utilized and copied by personal injury lawyers who recovered in excess of \$100 million from Foodmaker.

IMMUNEX LITIGATION. The firm acted as co-lead counsel on behalf of a class of purchasers of Immunex stock. A settlement of \$14 million was approved by the federal court.

INTERMEC LITIGATION. The firm acted as co-lead counsel for shareholders after Intermec stock dropped 30 percent in value over a two-quarter period as a result of a succession of overly optimistic earnings estimates. Our efforts netted a \$5.9 million settlement for the shareholders.

LOUISIANA-PACIFIC SIDING LITIGATION. The firm acted as co-lead counsel on a consumer case arising from the failure of a new type of hardboard siding used to clad homes. Over a million consumers are in the class. The case resulted in a landmark, highly favorable settlement that has resulted in compensation for homeowners across the country.

MICHAEL MILKEN LITIGATION. The firm, on behalf of beneficiaries of several Washington State public employee pension funds which are administered by the Washington State Investments Board ('WSIB'), brought a RICO and breach of fiduciary duty suit against noted junk bond king Michael Milken, and over forty individuals who were involved as principals or participants in the leveraged buyouts of Beatrice, Safeway, Pace, City and Motel Six. This case pitted the firm against some of America's most powerful individuals and companies. A settlement was reached and received final approval from the Court.

MORRISON KNUDSEN LITIGATION ('MK'). MK was at the time the largest employer in Boise, Idaho. After a significant write off, Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro filed a shareholder class action, alleging that MK's CEO, William Agee, and other officers, had misled the investors as to MK's true status. The lawsuit claimed that MK was concealing hundreds of millions in losses. In Boise, the public and even the Court were skeptical that this venerable local company could have misled investors. After bitterly fighting the suit, MK ousted Agee and admitted that it was facing hundreds of millions in losses and declared bankruptcy. Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro was able to recover over \$63 million for investors, resulting in what is believed to be the largest settlement in the State of Idaho (except in the tobacco case).

NORDSTROM LITIGATION. The firm acted as co-lead counsel for shareholders claiming that the company had misrepresented its liabilities for labor in publicly filed documents distributed to the Investment Community. Steve Berman obtained a \$7.5 million settlement from the company and its inside directors.

PIPER JAFFRAY. This action was brought as a class action on behalf of purchasers of common shares of eight closed-end investment companies established, marketed and operated by the Piper Jaffray Companies, Inc., and various subsidiaries. These funds contained Mortgage-backed securities that were routinely referred to as 'AAA' or 'government securities' by defendants in an effort to convince investors

of their overall safety. Defendants ignored or misrepresented the effect that interest rate or market risks would have on these types of investments. In reality, these securities are extremely complex and intricate and are acutely sensitive to changes in market interest rates and are often used as part of an overall strategy to speculate on the course of market interest rates in the future. Unfortunately for Class members, the Defendants lost their gamble on interest rates using the shareholders' money. Market interest rates rose sharply throughout 1994, dealing a devastating blow to the speculative portfolios of the various closed-end funds. Due to the undisclosed risks that defendants undertook, the closed-end funds – and the Class members – suffered massive losses.

A settlement valued at over \$50 million was achieved.

SPOKANE ASPHALT ANTITRUST LITIGATION. Carl Hagens and Steve Berman represented independent asphalt pavers who had been excluded from the Spokane asphalt industry. As a result of the case, the Spokane paving industry is open to independent contractors.

U.S. WEST LITIGATION. The firm represented shareholders of U.S. West New Vector in a challenge to the proposed buyout of minority shareholders by U.S. West. As a result of this litigation, the proposed buyout was stayed, and a settlement was achieved that resulted in a \$63 million increase in the price of the buyout.

washington public power supply system securities Litigation. Steve Berman and James Solimano represented bondholders and the bondholder trustee of WPPSS Nuclear Projects 4 and 5 bonds. Following the largest municipal bond default in history (\$2.25 billion), the trustee, joined by bondholders, brought one of the most complex and protracted securities fraud cases ever filed. After more than five years of intensive litigation, the trustee and the other plaintiffs recovered in excess of \$850 million in settlements from more than 100 defendants. The implementation of various settlement agreements continues and will be a firm matter.

WASHINGTON STATE FERRY WORKERS WAGE LITIGATION. The firm represented a class of on-call seamen who alleged that they were not paid for being 'on call' in violation of federal and state law. The case resulted in a rearrangement in work assignments and of the 'on call' system that has resulted in better working conditions.

WPPSS COST SHARING LITIGATION. The firm represented Chemical Bank, the trustee for WPPSS Projects 4 and 5 bondholders, in litigation against nearly 100 defendants. The bank recovered \$55 million in costs misallocated among the WPPSS nuclear projects.

Securities Litigation

Securities litigation has been one of the cornerstones of **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's** practice since the inception of our firm. We have recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for institutional and individual investors defrauded by unscrupulous management of publicly held corporations.

In light of recent cases, such as Enron, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's** work is even more well recognized as crucial to protecting the rights of investors.

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro provides unparalleled services to combat corporate fraud. Our attorneys are experts in a wide array of issues unique to specific industries. We also use highly experienced experts in a variety of fields as an integral part of the prosecution team.

According to the former Chairman of the SEC, Arthur Levitt, "... we are witnessing an erosion in the quality of earnings, and therefore the quality of financial reporting. Managing may be giving way to manipulation; Integrity may be losing out to illusion."

We recognize that investing is, by nature, a speculative business involving a wide variety of risks. But no money manager or individual investor should be forced to endure undue risk as a result of misreported financial conditions. We vigorously pursue fraud recovery litigation that forces corporations to answer to the investors they have deceived.

Our firm has represented investors in nationwide class actions for securities violations against WPPSS, Boeing, Einstein-Noah Bagel, Boston Chicken, Exxon-Mobil, Oppenheimer, Morrison Knudsen, ProCyte, Wall Data, PriceCostco, MK Rail, Bonneville Pacific, Mercer International, NeoRx, Egghead and Omega Environmental.

We currently have securities actions in California, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Michigan, Utah, Tennessee, Texas, Minnesota, New York, and Washington.

¹ The Numbers Game, remarks by Arthur Levitt on September 28, 1998 at the NYU Center for Law and Business.

SERVICES PROVIDED TO INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro is a leading provider of specialized securities litigation services to public, private and Taft-Hartley pension funds. We offer proprietary and unparalleled asset protection and recovery services to both foreign and domestic institutions. Our institutional services provide participants with the ability to identify, investigate and react to potential wrongdoing by companies they invest in.

Our program enables clients to be proactive, not reactive. We update our clients on an ongoing basis regarding their exposure and advise them on their legal rights and potential remedies. We make a commitment to our institutional and pension fund clients to protect and defend all of their rights as shareholders and guardians of their beneficiaries' retirement funds.

When money managers suffer lost percentage points as a result of corporate deception, our litigation services allow them to recover a substantial percentage of those losses, increasing the money manager's performance. However, we realize that as a fiduciary, money managers may not have the ability or desire to risk funds on speculative litigation using typical hourly-rate law firms. Therefore, **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** provides institutional investors with several methods of fraud recovery litigation services.

Depending on the size and facts of the case, we may bring individual action on behalf of the institutional investor. Another method is for the institutional investor to be the lead plaintiff in a securities class action against the offending company. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** helps clients decide which course of action is best for them, and is well-qualified to pursue either method of recovery.

THE VALUE OF CLASS-ACTION LITIGATION

Many class-action cases are very successful, and provide meaningful recoveries to the class members. For example, the Morrison Knudsen and Oppenheimer Delta Partners litigations - both led by **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** - obtained settlements of approximately 60 percent and 80 percent, respectively, of the largest estimates of possible losses for the class members. The Midisoft case, also directed by **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro**, obtained more than 50 percent of total damages. Many other recent cases, such as Waste Management and Cendant, have obtained hundreds of millions of dollars in settlements and recovered a large percentage of damages for injured shareholders.

DUTIES OF A LEAD PLAINTIFF

As the lead plaintiff in a class action, the court requires that you will adequately and fairly represent the class. To perform these duties, the institution must be familiar with the litigation. This does not mean it must know every aspect of the litigation. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** will keep the institution informed of major events and this will satisfy its duty.

The institution may and should confer with us at any time it feels it is appropriate. The institution must also agree to vigorously prosecute the litigation. Meaning, you must hire lawyers experienced in class-action litigation. **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro** has national experience in class actions, and has vigorously prosecuted numerous securities fraud class-action cases, with combined recoveries in the hundreds of millions of dollars.

All costs in prosecuting a class action are advanced and paid by Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, and the institution is not responsible for their payment whether we are successful or unsuccessful.

In short, the institution has minimal time commitments and no financial responsibilities, but will be encouraged to provide its opinions and insights.

AN INSTITUTION'S PRESENCE CAN DRAMATICALLY AFFECT THE LITIGATION

As lead plaintiff, an institution has a direct influence on the strategies and disposition of the case, thereby having a profound effect on the ultimate success of the litigation.

As lead plaintiff, the institution will be a key member of the decision-making team. It will be able to help decide if a contemplated settlement is too low or if it is reasonable under the circumstances. For institutions that have been unhappy with the returns from previous class-action lawsuits they have participated in, overseeing the process to ensure that they are happy with the ultimate result is a compelling reason to participate.

Environmental Litigation

Environmental litigation on behalf of victims of toxic spills or pollution and other land use practices is also an increasing area of focus for **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro**. The following is a profile of some of our cases.

CHINOOK FERRY LITIGATION. The firm represented a class of property owners who challenged Washington State Ferries' high-speed operation of a new generation of fast ferries in an environmentally sensitive area of Puget Sound. Two of the ferries at issue, the *Chinook* and *Snohomish*, caused environmental havoc and property damage, compelling the property owners to take action. After a successful preliminary trial, the trial court enjoined the operation of the ferries at high speed pending compliance with environmental laws, which was later overturned on appeal by the Washington Supreme Court. A SEPA study conducted in response to the suit confirmed the adverse environmental impacts of the fast ferry service. The trial court approved a \$4.4 million settlement that is among the most favorable in the annals of class litigation in the State of Washington.

GRAND CANYON LITIGATION. The firm represented the Sierra Club in a challenge to a Forest Service decision to allow commercial development on the southern edge of the Grand Canyon National Park. Recently the trial court enjoined the project.







EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL LITIGATION. The firm represents various classes of claimants, including fisherman and businesses located in Prince William Sound and other impacted areas, who were damaged by the worst oil spill in United States history. A \$5 billion judgment was awarded by a federal court jury and a \$98 million settlement was achieved with Alyeska, the oil company consortium that owned the output of the pipeline. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals recently overturned the punitive damage award and indicated the district court should reconsider the amount, but not the issue of whether punitive damages were appropriate.

KERR-MCGEE RADIATION CASE. The firm represented a class action on behalf of residents of West Chicago, Illinois, who have been exposed to radioactive uranium tailings from a rare earth facility operated by Kerr-McGee. The trial court has upheld plaintiff's claim, and Kerr-McGee unsuccessfully sought review in the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals. A medical monitoring settlement valued in excess of \$5 million has received court approval.

SEATAC LITIGATION. The firm represented homeowners who claimed that the operation of the Seattle-Tacoma Airport destroyed their property values. The case was the largest inverse condemnation case asserted against a governmental agency in the Pacific Northwest. After a jury trial, the case settled.

SKAGIT VALLEY FLOOD LITIGATION. The firm represented farmers, homeowners and businesses who claimed damages as a result of the 1990 flooding of this community. The case, which had been in litigation for ten years and involved a jury trial of over five months in Snohomish County, was the longest jury trial ever conducted in Snohomish County. Following the entry of 53







Skagit Valley Flood

verdicts against Skagit County, the trial Court entered judgments exceeding \$6.3 million. Ultimately, the State Supreme Court reversed this judgment. Despite this reversal, the firm is proud of this representation and believes that the Supreme Court erred.

RIO TINTO. In a landmark case, the firm represents victims of Rio Tinto's mining operation on the island of Bougainville. To build the mine, Rio chemically defoliated, bulldozed and sluiced off an entire mountainside of rain forest. During the years of the mine's operations, billions of tons of toxic mine waste was generated and dumped onto the land and into pristine waters, filling major rivers with tailings, polluting a major bay dozens of miles away, and the Pacific Ocean as well. As a result of its flagrant disregard for the environment and the people of Bougainville, Rio dispossessed the people of Bougainville from their land, destroyed their culture and polluted their environment and lifestyle. Rio destroyed previously pristine rivers and land that provided substance and a way of life for the native people and went to the heart of their local culture. The pollution is so extensive that plaintiffs and members of the class have been exposed to toxic chemicals. In certain villages, the chemicals still remaining have caused the death and/or illness of residents.

Rio's actions on Bougainville were so egregious that they sparked an uprising designed to close the mine. When the uprising succeeded, Rio and the PNG government brought troops in to reopen the mine. Rio provided transport for these troops. After initial unsuccessful efforts, the PNG government, as the agent of or co-venturer of Rio and with the support and encouragement of Rio, instituted a military blockade of the island that lasted for almost ten years. The purpose of the military blockade was to coerce the Bougain-ville people into surrender so that the mine could be reopened. Both Rio and PNG made enormous profits from the mine and were anxious for it to operate, notwithstanding the resistance of the island's people. The blockade prevented medicine, clothing and other essential items from reaching the people of Bougainville. Hospitals were forced to close, women died needlessly in childbirth and young children died from easily preventable diseases. Rio's top manager of the Bougainville mine encouraged continuation of the blockade for the purpose of 'starving the bastards' out. This blockade directly caused the deaths of at least 10,000 people between 1990 and 1997. According to the Red Cross, the blockade killed more than 2,000 children in just its first two years of operation. By the time the war ended in 1999, 10% of the population of Bougainville, approximately 15,000 civilians, were killed.

The action alleges that Rio's conduct violated customary international law, including prohibitions against destruction of the right to life and health, and prohibitions against racial discrimination, and war crimes. Rio's conduct violated the settled standards for the protection of human rights and the environment recognized by customary international law and United States legal precedent. The plaintiffs seek redress under the federal Alien Tort Claims Act (28 U.S.C. § 1350).



Dead Forest Vegetation as a Result of Raised Water Table Levels Near Old Kuneka

The Partners



STEVE W. BERMAN

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9320 Email: steve@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Berman helped to found the firm in 1993, and is the managing partner. He has served as lead or colead counsel in securities, consumer, products liability, antitrust, employment class actions, and complex litigations in the Northwest and throughout the country. Some of the high-profile matters Mr. Berman has prosecuted include the Washington Public Power Supply System, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill, Michael Milken Litigation, Enron Litigation, Tobacco Litigation, and the Visa/MasterCard Litigation.

Mr. Berman's successes include obtaining a settlement of \$92 million in the Boeing Securities Litigation, representing stock and bondholders in the Bonneville Pacific Securities Litigation which resulted in settlements exceeding \$30 million, and gaining approval of a \$290 million settlement in the Louisiana Pacific Siding Litigation, the largest product liability settlement in Pacific Northwest history. In the WPPSS Securities Litigation, Mr. Berman represented bond purchasers in the largest securities trial in U.S. history. In addition to being a member of the trial team, the lead counsel designated him as a core group member alongside a group of class plaintiffs and Chemical Bank attorneys charged with prosecuting the case. The case resulted in a settlement exceeding \$850 million, the largest recovery in a securities class action at the time.

Mr. Berman's innovative approaches to litigation have earned him significant recognition. When beneficiaries of state employee pension funds refused to pursue sums from **Michael Milken's** misappropriation of warrants, Mr. Berman stepped forward, pioneering the Milken action and using a unique approach to recovery. As co-lead counsel in multi-state class actions against **Blue Cross**, he uncovered Blue Cross' breach of fiduciary duties owing to plan participants in the manner in which copayments are calculated. His discovery led to Blue Cross being sued in dozens of states with lawyers throughout the country emulating his approach. Eventually the way in which co-pays are calculated has changed industry-wide resulting in billions of savings for consumers.

Steve is currently working on the McKesson-First Data Bank Litigation, Nexium Litigation, Average Wholesale Price Litigation, Lipitor Litigation, as well as representing several states on drug pricing litigation (Arizona, Nevada, and Montana) and securities litigation (Ohio). He is also lead counsel in the DRAM Antitrust Litigation and the Rio Tinto Litigation.

Perhaps most notable is Mr. Berman's role as a special assistant attorney general for the states of Washington, Arizona, Illinois, Indiana, New York, Alaska, Idaho, Ohio, Oregon, Nevada, Montana, Vermont, and Rhode Island in the landmark **Tobacco Litigation**.

A principal in the groundbreaking settlement with Liggett and the proposed national settlement, Mr. Berman was one of only two private attorneys acting as members of the negotiating team. He conceived the idea to use Liggett as the whistleblower and secured the cooperation of Bennet LeBow, Liggett's CEO, as well as insisting that Liggett waive all claims of attorney-client privilege. Mr. Berman also negotiated the settlement that required Liggett to admit what the industry has denied to this day: smoking causes cancer and heart disease; nicotine addicts; and the industry targets children. Liggett's admissions, the cooperation of LeBow, and the release of previously privileged documents were instrumental factors in driving the industry to settlement. Liggett's 'plea of guilty' added momentum to the 1997 proposed national settlement, which led to the eventual \$206 billion multi-state settlement in 1998.

The announcement of the final settlement found Mr. Berman in his ninth week of trial presenting the state of Washington's case to a jury. His successful handling of the bulk of the trial earned him great respect. Subsequently, some of Washington State's leading trial lawyers hired Mr. Berman to try a certified class action brought by union trust funds against tobacco. The book, People v. Big Tobacco, describes his role in the Tobacco Litigation.

Other notable actions led by Mr. Berman include the Morrison Knudsen Securities Litigation, Contact Lens Disposable Antitrust Litigation and Piper Jaffray Closed-End Funds Litigation in which he obtained a settlement valued at nearly \$60 million. Mr. Berman's cases have also involved corporate entities such as Egghead, Foodmaker, SuperMac, Immunex, Digital Systems, and Aldus.

More recently, **Microsoft** recognized Mr. Berman's experience and expertise when the company retained him to be part of the core national team representing the company in antitrust class actions arising from Judge Jackson's Findings of Fact in the Department of Justice antitrust case against the company.

In April 2000, the *National Law Journal* listed Mr. Berman as the top litigator in the state and, in June, named him as one of the 100 most powerful lawyers in the nation. In January 2001, Seattle Magazine featured him in an issue profiling the top lawyers in Seattle. In June 2006, the *National Law Journal* once again named Mr. Berman as one of the nation's 100 most influential lawyers in America. Mr. Berman was also named as a finalist for the Trial Lawyer of the Year award for 2006 by *The Trial Lawyers for Public Justice*.

Mr. Berman graduated from the University of Michigan in 1976 and earned his law degree from the University of Chicago Law School in 1980.

JENIPHR A.E. BRECKENRIDGE

Direct Dial: (206) 224-9325 Email: jeniphr@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Breckenridge is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where she has practiced law since its founding in 1993. Ms. Breckenridge's practice concentrates on securities fraud and consumer litigation. Ms. Breckenridge is involved with the firm's Average Wholesale Price Litigation. Other notable cases include leading the firm's efforts in the recently-settled Raytheon Securities Litigation, Boeing Securities Litigation, Abbott Laboratories Litigation and Tobacco Litigation.

In the Tobacco Litigation, Ms. Breckenridge spearheaded **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro**'s effort to develop facts surrounding defendant British American Tobacco Industries and its subsidiaries, including Brown & Williamson and BATCo. Reviewing BAT documents held in a repository in Guildford, England, she explored issues of American court jurisdiction over BAT entities; BAT's participation in the worldwide industry conspiracy; and BAT and Brown & Williamson lawyer involvement in the conspiracy, as well as other issues related to liability.

Ms. Breckenridge earned her undergraduate degree from Georgetown University in political theory and economics. She graduated from the University of Maryland Law School, where she was the Notes and Comments editor of the *Maryland Law Review*.

ROBERT B. CAREY

Direct Dial: (602) 224-2626 Email: rcarey@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Carey has been the managing partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Phoenix office since 2002. His practice includes handling numerous class-action lawsuits against a variety of organizations and companies - including the **Swift Truckers Litigation** and the **Average Wholesale Price Litigation**. He recently acted as co-lead counsel for the Hyundai Litigation, which settled for approximately \$100 million.

Mr. Carey's previous experience focused on personal injury, medical malpractice and various class-action cases. In addition to arguing several high-profile cases in state Supreme Courts and Courts of Appeals, he litigated multi-billion dollar claims by counties for damages stemming from tobacco-related illnesses and dozens of consumer and insurance class actions in various states. Mr. Carey also served as judge pro

tempore in Maricopa County Superior Court and as special counsel for Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro suits to recover Medicaid and statutory damages in the landmark public health litigation.

From 1990 to 1996, as Arizona's Chief Deputy Attorney General, Mr. Carey oversaw all major legal, policy, legislative, and political issues for the Arizona attorney general's office. He also obtained a \$4 billion divestiture, a landmark \$165 million antitrust settlement, and won numerous consumer and tort cases. Mr. Carey drafted and spearheaded passage of Arizona's law requiring the DNA testing of all sex offenders and instituted a penalty requiring that criminals pay the cost of victims' rights. He was also a principal draftsman of the first major overhaul of Arizona's criminal code, and drafted the bulk of the federal Prisoner Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for Senators Bob Dole and Jon Kyl, and authored the counterpart Arizona act that served as a model for other states and the federal act. His legislative experience began when he served as a former campaign staffer, intern, and staff member for U.S. Senator John McCain, during and after Senator McCain's first run for public office.

Recognized by the judges of the Superior Court of Arizona in Maricopa County for outstanding contributions to the justice system, Mr. Carey frequently presents at national conferences and teaches tort, contract and public policy courses, most recently at the University of Colorado's graduate business school.

Mr. Carey earned his bachelor's degree from Arizona State University, and received his MBA and law degree from the University of Denver. He also attended Harvard University's John F. Kennedy School of Government, where he studied in the state and local government program.

ELIZABETH A. FEGAN

Direct Dial: (708) 776-5604 Email: beth@hbsslaw.com

Beth is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Chicago office where she has worked since 2004. Beth's practice includes a variety of complex commercial class action cases in the areas of antitrust, pharmaceutical litigation, insurance fraud, consumer protection and employment discrimination. Beth's recent successes include the pending settlement of a nationwide class action alleging sexual harassment on behalf of 16,000 current and former female employees of a commercial property brokerage firm. In addition to requiring changes to human resources policies and procedures, the innovative settlement affords class members the opportunity to participate in a stream-lined claims process that provides the potential for individual awards up to \$150,000 per class member.

Beth's current cases include the Clear Channel Live Rock Concert Antitrust Litigation, the Baby Products Antitrust Litigation, the Midland Senior Annuities Fraud Litigation, and the American Equity Senior Annuities Fraud Litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Fegan was a partner at The Wexler Firm LLP in Chicago. Her legal work consisted of finance lending discrimination lawsuits, pharmaceutical and antitrust litigation, civil rights charges and suits, and auditing and accounting malpractice litigation. She also served as legal writing instructor at the Loyola University Chicago School of Law.

While previously practicing at Shefsky & Froelich, Ltd. in Chicago, Ms. Fegan served in several local government appointments and on a special master team in federal and state court. The local government appointments included positions as special assistant corporation counsel to the city of Chicago, the Chicago Park District and the Public Building Commission of Chicago.

Ms. Fegan received her law degree from Loyola University Chicago School of Law. While there, she was editor at large of the *Loyola Law Journal* in which she published "Home Rule Hits the Road in Illinois: American Telephone & Telegraph Company v. Village of Arlington Heights."



ERIN K. FLORY

Direct Dial: (206) 224-9332 Email: erin@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Flory is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he focuses on securities and class-action litigation. His writing and legal analysis have been vital to the firm's rise to national prominence, helping to establish the high standards that exemplify the **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's** written court submissions.

Mr. Flory plays a prominent role in several securities cases, including Boeing, Boston Chicken, Einstein/Noah Bagel, Midcom, Wall Data, Immunex and Bonneville.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Flory worked for a leading corporate defense firm, leaving in 1991 to represent the interests of injured investors, consumers, workers, and homeowners in their challenges to corporate misconduct. After obtaining his law degree, he clerked for Judge Thomas S. Zilly of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington and for the Washington State Court of Appeals.

Mr. Flory graduated *summa cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa from the University of Washington in 1983 with a degree in economics. He earned his law degree from the University of Washington Law School, where he served as an executive editor of the *Washington Law Review*.

REED R. KATHREIN

Direct Dial: (510) 725-3030 Email: reed@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Kathrein is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's San Francisco office. He has more than 30 years of experience in complex litigation in securities fraud, consumer and antitrust class actions. Among his most recent cases, he worked along side HBSS on a \$1 billion settlement with Tenet Healthcare.

Mr. Kathrein has worked in the state of California since 1988, where he has developed a reputation as one of the region's most successful attorneys in complex litigation and large class action cases. Some of Mr. Kathrein's highlight cases include a \$259 million settlement for shareholders against 3Com Corporation accusing the company of defrauding investors by hiding a \$160 million loss. Mr. Kathrein also made the record books in 2003 with an earned settlement of \$300 million, the largest investment fraud case in U.S. history against Capital Consultants.

Mr. Kathrein also serves on many committees and works within the community giving lectures on a variety of legal topics. He has served as the co-chair of the Securities Working Group in California and was tasked by Chief Justices Marilyn Hall Patel and Vaughn Walker to propose and assist in revising the Court's local securities fraud class actions rules. He also served on the executive committee of the National Association of Securities and Consumer Law Attorneys, the Private International Law Committee of the American Bar Association and acted as an advisor to the U.S. State Department's Advisory Committee on Private International Law.

Some of his most recent lectures address 'Dealing with Analysts and the Press' and 'Ethics in Class Actions.'

Mr. Kathrein earned his bachelor's degree in economics and politics of Latin America, and received his law degree from the University of Miami. He also attended Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico in Mexico City.



SEAN R. MATT

Direct Dial: (206) 224-9327 Email: sean@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Matt is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since its founding in 1993. Mr. Matt's practice focuses on multi-state and nationwide class actions and encompasses consumer, insurance, products, antitrust, environmental, employment, and labor issues. His ability to organize and develop a standardized approach to prosecuting individual class cases across many states involving the same defendants and similar factual and legal issues continues to be a key factor in the firm's success.

Mr. Matt's cases include the Average Wholesale Price Litigation, Ford and Nissan Accelerator Litigation, Washington State Ferry Litigation and Tobacco Litigation. In the Tobacco Litigation, he assisted with client liaison responsibilities, working closely with assistant attorneys general in Oregon, Ohio, Arizona, Alaska, and New York, as well as assisting in briefing and arguing motions to dismiss. Working on various discovery issues, including discovery requests, briefing on discovery motions and appearances at discovery hearings, Mr. Matt also developed expert disclosure statements and prepared testimony for a variety of expert witnesses.

Mr. Matt's publications include co-authoring the comment, "Providing a Model Responsive to the Needs of Small Businesses at Formation: A Focus on Ex Ante Flexibility and Predictability" appearing in the *Oregon Law Review*.

Mr. Matt earned his bachelor's degree in finance from Indiana University, graduating with highest distinction. An associate editor of the University of Oregon School of Law's *Law Review*, he graduated with his law degree in 1992, after earning membership in the prestigious Order of the Coif.

DAVID P. MOODY

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9323 Email: davidm@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Moody is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 2006. He is a trial attorney with a passion for representing children, the disabled, elderly and incapacitated citizens. Raised in Olympia, Washington, Mr. Moody received his undergraduate degree from the University of Washington in 1990. After graduating from George Washington University School of Law in 1993, Mr. Moody joined the Seattle office of Gordon, Thomas, Honeywell where he became a partner in 1998. He left the firm in 2005.

Among his many cases on behalf of vulnerable citizens, Mr. Moody served as the lead trial attorney, obtaining the largest jury verdict ever upheld against the State of Washington (\$17.8 million); the lead trial attorney, securing the largest single-plaintiff settlement against the State of Washington, DSHS (\$8.8 million); the lead trial attorney, obtaining the largest single-plaintiff jury verdict on behalf of an incapacitated adult in Kitsap County, Washington (\$2.6 million); and the lead trial attorney, obtaining the largest single-plaintiff settlement on behalf of a developmentally disabled adult in eastern Washington (\$2.25 million) and incapacitated child (\$4.5 million) in Spokane County, Washington.

Mr. Moody is actively involved in advocacy for citizens with disabilities, is a member of the Arc of King County, and the Arc of Washington State.

DAVID S. NALVEN

Direct Dial: (617) 475-1953 Email: davidn@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Nalven is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Cambridge office where he has worked since 2004. His practice focuses on prosecution of multi-state and nationwide class actions involving the pharmaceutical industry, securities fraud, and consumer protection.

Mr. Nalven has been appointed class counsel in the Serostim Off-Label Marketing Litigation, and serves as one of the lead counsel in the TriCor Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation, and on the direct purchaser class executive committee in the Ovcon Antitrust Litigation. Mr. Nalven has also worked extensively on the nationwide Average Wholesale Price Litigation and in the representation of the State of Connecticut in multiple prescription drug pricing matters.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Nalven served as Chief of the Business and Labor Protection Bureau in the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office, where he oversaw a staff of more than 100 on all cases and initiatives involving healthcare fraud, insurance fraud, workplace offenses, and other civil and criminal business matters.

Mr. Nalven graduated magna cum laude from University of Pennsylvania in 1980 with a bachelor's degree in English, and from New York University School of Law in 1985, where he was Senior Research Editor of the Annual Survey of American Law. After law school, Mr. Nalven served as a law clerk to the Honorable John R. Gibson of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. Mr. Nalven is admitted to practice in Massachusetts and New York.

EDWARD NOTARGIACOMO

Direct Dial: (617) 475-1960 Email: ed@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Notargiacomo is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Cambridge office where he has worked since 2002. He joined the firm to focus on complex consumer, commercial and antitrust litigation. His recent cases include the **Average Wholesale Price Litigation** and other class-action suits aimed at pharmaceutical companies who illegally attempt to deny market access to manufacturers of cheaper generic pharmaceuticals.

Mr. Notargiacomo's extensive experience in complex cases includes consumer class actions against predatory lenders and employment litigation against a major retail chain, as well as intense involvement in high-profile impact litigation against cigarette manufacturers, the firearms industry and pharmaceutical manufacturers.

Prior to joining the firm, he served as special assistant attorney general for Massachusetts in its suit against the tobacco industry. Mr. Notargiacomo played a major role in discovery in that case, including the defense of more than 45 depositions of state agency employees and led the effort to marshal documents and exhibits in preparation for trial. He also helped represent Rhode Island, New Hampshire and Maine in their suits against the tobacco industry. In another case, he represented the city of Boston in its suit against gun manufacturers and distributors in order to force them to take responsibility for some of the violence perpetrated with firearms that are negligently and illegally distributed in cities like Boston.

Mr. Notargiacomo received his bachelor's degree from Brown University in 1989. He earned his law degree with honors from Boston University in 1994 where he served on the *Boston University Public Interest Law Review*. He is admitted to practice in Massachusetts and in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

CHRISTOPHER A. O'HARA

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9351 Email: chriso@hbsslaw.com

Mr. O'Hara is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 1997. He practiced for nearly five years in the firm's Phoenix office before returning to the Seattle office, where he currently concentrates on antitrust, consumer, and pharmaceutical class actions.

Mr. O'Hara serves as plaintiffs' counsel in the third party payor **Zyprexa** litigation, **Medtronic** and **Guidant** actions, as well as the price fixing litigation against the Hydrogen Peroxide industry. An active member of the firm's Microsoft defense team, he negotiates claims administration policy and processing rules on behalf of Microsoft in twenty consumer class action state settlements around the country.

While in Phoenix, Mr. O'Hara deposed more than a dozen of big tobacco's expert witnesses, research scientists and marketing executives for the **Tobacco Litigation**, focusing predominantly on the Arizona case. He also defended the depositions of Arizona's national and local expert witness, while contributing to all aspects of discovery and motion practice. Mr. O'Hara played a leading role in the firm's successful defense of the state of Arizona against a claim brought by several Arizona Counties in the aftermath of the state's tobacco litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. O'Hara focused on property loss subrogation and professional liability defense at a Seattle-area law firm.

Mr. O'Hara earned his bachelor's degree in political science and French language and literature from the University of Washington in 1987. He subsequently graduated *cum laude* from Seattle University School of Law and is admitted to practice in state and federal courts in Arizona and Washington, as well as the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

GEORGE W. SAMPSON

Direct Dial: (206) 224-9345 Email: george@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Sampson is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 1994. He joined the firm to focus on antitrust and consumer class actions, and currently serves as co-lead counsel in the **Disposable Contact Lens Litigation** and the **Visa/MasterCard** debit card case. He also helped develop antitrust claims in the **Tobacco Litigation**.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Sampson served as chief of the Antitrust Bureau for the New York Attorney General's Office. Mr. Sampson oversaw a 22 person staff and managed case selection and investigation for all civil and criminal prosecutions. He also served as attorney general liaison to the federal-state Executive Working Group-Antitrust. His position as chief involved a heavy trial practice, primarily in federal courts and often in conjunction with several states.

During his 10 years with the Antitrust Bureau, Mr. Sampson's notable cases included winning a \$7.8 million jury verdict in a highway bid rigging trial, serving as lead counsel for New York and obtaining a \$30 million settlement in insurance antitrust litigation, and negotiating a \$15 million return to consumers in a resale price maintenance settlement with Nintendo.

Mr. Sampson earned his bachelor's degree in economics from Cornell University and graduated from New York University School of Law in 1977. A member of both the Washington and New York state bars, he sits on the Executive Committee of the Antitrust and Consumer Protection of the Washington State Bar Association and frequently speaks on antitrust issues. Mr. Sampson is admitted before the U.S. Supreme Court and numerous federal courts of appeal.



ANTHONY D. SHAPIRO

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9352 Email: tony@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Shapiro is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he concentrates on antitrust matters, environmental and general commercial disputes, and leads the firm's **Personal Injury Group**. His personal injury practice includes cases of wrongful death, brain injury, and catastrophic personal injury matters resulting from construction site, workplace and automobile accidents, as well as product liability.

Mr. Shapiro's work prosecuting plaintiffs' claims against the Alyeska Pipeline Service Company resulting from the 1989 Exxon Valdez Oil Spill ultimately resulted in a \$98 million settlement for plaintiffs. Other notable antitrust class actions include Brand Name Prescription Drug Antitrust Litigation, Carbon Dioxide Antitrust Litigation, Carpet Antitrust Litigation, Infant Formula Antitrust Litigation, Baby Food Antitrust Litigation, Scouring Pads Antitrust Litigation, Medical X-Ray Film Antitrust Litigation, High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litigation, Visa/MasterCard Antitrust Litigation, Commercial Tissue Products Antitrust Litigation, Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation, Lease Oil Antitrust Litigation, and Bromine Antitrust Litigation.

Prior to leading the firm's personal injury litigation practice, Mr. Shapiro honed his courtroom skills in the Washington state prosecuting attorney's office, where he represented the state in more than 50 serious felony jury trials, including some of the state's most difficult and high-profile cases. Following his position at the prosecuting attorney's office, he joined Schweppe Krug & Tausend, then Seattle's oldest law firm, where he used his extensive courtroom experience to serve personal injury clients. He subsequently founded Rohan Goldfarb & Shapiro, a firm focusing on personal injury cases as well as commercial litigation and antitrust work.

Very involved in issues concerning juvenile diabetes, Mr. Shapiro has served as a board member of the Western Washington chapter of the Juvenile Diabetes Foundation for four years.

Mr. Shapiro was given an AV rating by Martindale-Hubbell, the highest rating a lawyer can obtain, indicating a very high to preeminent legal ability and exceptional ethical standards as established by confidential opinions from members of the Bar.

Mr. Shapiro graduated from Colgate University with honors and earned his law degree from Georgetown University Law Center in 1982.



Direct Dial: (617) 475-1950 Email: tom@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Sobol is the managing partner of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Cambridge office, and handles numerous pharmaceutical and consumer class actions for the firm.

Mr. Sobol currently leads drug pricing litigation efforts against several pharmaceutical companies in order to remedy overcharges to consumers and other payors of brand name and generic drugs. Some current matters include the Average Wholesale Price Litigation, Zyprexa Litigation, Wellbutrin Antitrust Litigation and First DataBank Litigation. He is lead counsel for the Prescription Access Litigation (PAL) Project, the largest coalition of health care advocacy groups that have joined together to fight illegal, loophole-based overpricing by pharmaceutical companies. PAL has approximately 85 organizational members and is located in more than 30 states.

In the groundbreaking **Tobacco Litigations**, Mr. Sobol represented the states of Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island and served as lead private counsel for Massachusetts and New Hampshire.



These cases led to significant injunctive relief and monetary recovery in excess of \$10 billion to those states.

For many years, Mr. Sobol was a leader for the New England Shelter for Homeless Veterans (NESHV) in Boston, Massachusetts, and served as chairman of the board of its company, the Vietnam Veterans Workshop, Inc., from 1995 to 2002. The shelter is a national model for homeless services, and NESHV is one of the largest private organizations delivering services to homeless veteran families and other veterans in need.

Mr. Sobol is a leader in the Massachusetts legal and healthcare community, serving on the board of Health Law Advocates, Inc., a non-profit legal organization that assists in health access for the poor. In 2000, the *National Law Journal* named Mr. Sobol as one of Massachusetts' 10 leading litigators.

Mr. Sobol is a member of the bars of Massachusetts, Rhode Island, the U.S. District Court for Massachusetts and Rhode Island, and the United States Court of Appeals, First Circuit.

Mr. Sobol graduated summa cum laude from Clark University in Worcester, Massachusetts in 1980 and was elected to Phi Beta Kappa in 1979. He graduated from Boston University School of Law cum laude in 1983. Tom then served as a judicial clerk for then-Chief Justice Allan M. Hale of the Massachusetts Appeals Court from 1983 to 1984.

CRAIG R. SPIEGEL

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9328 Email: craig@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Spiegel is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where his practice primarily focuses on class actions in employee litigation. Recent cases include actions against **Abbott Laboratories** and the **Boeing Race Litigation**.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Spiegel served as co-counsel for plaintiffs in numerous class actions. As lead counsel for several hundred investors who lost substantial sums of money from investments with Property Mortgage Company, he obtained an \$8 million settlement. Mr. Spiegel also helped represent a class of investors that lost millions of dollars investing in the production of oil after the court certified a class based on his argument.

In litigation with AT&T, Mr. Spiegel's briefs and oral argument compelled the Ninth Circuit to reverse a summary judgment, obtaining a substantial sum for his client, a company that alleged that AT&T unlawfully changed its policies to drive the company out of business. In another notable case, he served as co-counsel for a family of three that was rendered homeless when a Santa Barbara businessman towed away their trailer, claiming it was on his land, reselling the vehicle and most of their possessions. He helped obtain a jury verdict of \$225,000 for the family, after another attorney had obtained a \$15,000 non-binding judicial arbitration award.

Mr. Spiegel graduated *summa cum laude* from St. Olaf College in 1975 and *cum laude* from Harvard Law School in 1979. Admitted to practice before several federal district and appellate courts, he is a member of the California, Illinois and Washington bars.

JEFFREY T. SPRUNG

Direct Dial: (206) 224-9329 Email: jeffs@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Sprung is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 1994. Mr. Sprung specializes in government fraud suits brought under the False Claims Act, representing whistleblowers serving as "private Attorneys General."

Mr. Sprung has sued a variety of companies, including the Compaq Computer Corporation, which resulted in a large, multi-state settlement on behalf of computer users; contractors for the U.S. Department of Energy concerning accounting fraud at the largest nuclear clean-up site in Hanford, Washington; health care providers and pharmaceutical companies for Medicare and Medicaid fraud; and military contractors for procurement fraud

Mr. Sprung's expertise also includes prosecuting class actions for consumer fraud, employment discrimination and civil rights violations. He is extensively involved in race and gender employment discrimination class actions against The Boeing Company, and has handled class litigation arising from the use of slave and forced labor by Germany and Japan during World War II. He also worked on cases against foreign mining companies accused of destroying and contaminating indigenous people's land and committing human rights abuses.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Sprung was an Assistant U.S. Attorney in the Office of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia, where he specialized in civil fraud matters. He won the first civil suit for mail, wire and bank fraud brought in the District of Columbia, as well as the first civil suit brought by the government to punish insider trading in the mortgage-backed securities market. He served on a U.S. presidential campaign in 1988, after working at a large law firm in Washington, D.C. where he started his legal career.

Mr. Sprung is very active in the legal community. He was editor and contributing author of a leading practitioners' guide on civil legal remedies in United States courts for hate crimes. He also speaks on the False Claims Act and international human rights to various law schools, including the University of Washington law school, and various trade groups. He is a member of the Washington State Bar Association, the Federal Bar Association, and the Association of Former Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

Mr. Sprung graduated *magna cum laude* and Order of the Coif from the University of Michigan in 1981 and received his law degree from the University of Chicago Law School in 1984. He is admitted to practice in the state of Washington and the District of Columbia, and he has appeared in numerous cases before United States district courts and courts of appeals.

ANDREW M. VOLK

Direct Dial: (206) 224-9371 Email: andrew@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Volk is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 1996.

Mr. Volk was extensively involved in the **Tobacco Litigation**. He wrote many of the major briefs on behalf of the states represented by the firm. In addition, he helped research and develop legal theories used in the action, and headed the successful attack on defendants' claims that millions of documents were privileged.

More recently, Mr. Volk has worked on ERISA cases for breach of fiduciary duties, including claims on behalf of current and former employees of Enron, IPALCO, the Montana Power Company, and

General Motors. Mr. Volk has also worked on a variety of consumer protection cases, including the current case against Expedia, as well as RICO and employment discrimination cases.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Volk taught legal writing and research at the University of Oregon School of Law. He also spent three years as a staff attorney at the Criminal Appeals Bureau of the Legal Aid Society in New York City.

Mr. Volk earned his undergraduate degree from Columbia University. He graduated *cum laude* from Cornell Law School in 1991 and served as an articles editor of the *Cornell International Law Journal*. He is admitted to practice in New York, Oregon and Washington.

TYLER S. WEAVER

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9355 Email: tyler@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Weaver is a partner at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 2001. He litigates in a wide variety of practice areas, with an emphasis on consumer litigation.

Mr. Weaver's litigation at the firm has included the Raytheon Litigation, which resulted in a \$39 million settlement on behalf of shareholders of Washington Group, Inc.; a \$2.2 million settlement on behalf of Washington consumers unlawfully charged collection fees by Diamond Parking; and representation of individuals wrongfully arrested during the 1999 WTO protests in Seattle, which resulted in a favorable jury verdict and settlements of more than \$1 million and extensive non-monetary relief. He has also been involved in consumer class actions concerning real estate transactions and nursing home abuses, antitrust litigation against Starbucks, and fiduciary duty litigation under ERISA (including the IPALCO and the GM ERISA litigation).

Mr. Weaver is admitted to practice in Washington and Oregon, and has made numerous appearances in United States district courts and appellate courts, as well as the trial and appellate courts of the State of Washington. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Weaver clerked for two years for the Honorable Justin L. Quackenbush in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington. He graduated *cum laude* from the University of Oregon Honors College in 1992 and received his law degree from the University of California, Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall) in 1996.

Of Counsel

JEFFREY D. FRIEDMAN

Direct Dial: (510) 725-3031 Email: jefff@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Friedman is Of Counsel at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's San Francisco office where he specializes in class actions against large corporations involving securities fraud, consumer protection and privacy rights violations and has litigated numerous cases on behalf of class members resulting in multi-million dollar settlements.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Friedman worked with Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, where he recently negotiated a multi-million dollar settlement with Dell, Inc. on behalf of nearly one million consumers, based on allegations involving Dell's bait-and-switch financing and sales practices.

Mr. Friedman's legal experience covers wide-ranging areas of corporate fraud and complex commercial transactions. From 1997-2000, Mr. Friedman was an Assistant United States Attorney in the Criminal Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California (Los Angeles). As an AUSA, Mr. Friedman tried numerous federal cases and prosecuted matters involving investor, bank, and real estate fraud, tax evasion, narcotics trafficking, and money laundering. Prior to joining the U.S. Attorney's office, Mr. Friedman clerked for the Honorable Manuel L. Real, United States District Court Judge, Central District of California.

Mr. Friedman graduated with a Bachelor of Arts degree in political science from the University of Washington in 1991, where he was a member of the varsity baseball team. Mr. Friedman obtained his law degree, *magna cum laude*, from Santa Clara University School of Law in 1994, where he was on the Dean's list, an Emery School of Law Merit Scholarship Recipient, and a research assistant to the Dean of the law school. Mr. Friedman is a guest lecturer on class action practice at Stanford Law School. He is licensed to practice law in California and admitted in the Central and Northern Districts and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

NICHOLAS STYANT-BROWNE

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9373 Email: nick@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Styant-Browne is Of Counsel at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has practiced class-action and multi-plaintiff litigation since 2001. Recent projects include **Rio Tinto Litigation** for human rights and environmental abuses in respect of the Panguna mine on the Pacific island of Bougainville.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Styant-Browne was one of five senior partners at Australia's largest plaintiff law firm working on class actions, environmental litigation and antitrust litigation. He served as cocounsel on Australia's largest class action against a wholly owned subsidiary of Exxon, arising out of a gas plant explosion which shut down the gas supply to Melbourne and most of the State of Victoria for 10 days.

As lead counsel in a landmark case against BHP (now BHP Billiton), Mr. Styant-Browne's meticulous outlining of the environmental devastation caused by the Ok Tedi mine in Papau New Guinea helped force mining companies adopt stricter environmental standards in developing countries.

Mr. Styant-Browne's practice has involved several projects in the Pacific Rim, acting principally on behalf of the indigenous peoples of poor developing Pacific nations claiming environmental and human rights abuses. His successes and passion for the causes of indigenous peoples have led to him being retained by the national governments of Pacific States including Tuvalu and the Kingdom of Tonga.

The Associates

LEONARD W. ARAGON

Direct Dial: (602) 224-2629 Email: leonard@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Aragon is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Phoenix office. Prior to joining the firm, Leonard spent four years practicing in the areas of commercial litigation, election law and appellate advocacy at a Phoenix-based firm.

At his former firm, Mr. Aragon handled numerous initiative, referendum and redistricting matters, including representing the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission in lawsuits related to Arizona's congressional and legislative redistricting following the 2000 census. Additionally, he represented candidates from all levels of state and federal government.

Mr. Aragon is committed to pro bono and community service. He has represented numerous pro bono clients in the areas of insurance, immigration, family, and contract law, and serves on the board of directors of EMPACT-SPC (one of Arizona's largest behavioral health organizations). He also recently served as the co-chair for a major Valley fundraiser benefiting children's charities.

Before attending college, Mr. Aragon was a scout for the 2/68 Armored Tank Battalion. During that time, he was awarded the Army Achievement Medal and several expert marksmanship badges.

Mr. Aragon graduated *summa cum laude* from Arizona State University in 1998 with degrees in History and Political Science. He received his law degree from Stanford Law School in 2001. He is a member of the State Bar of Arizona and is admitted to practice in Arizona and Colorado federal district court.

IVY D. ARAI

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9358 Email: ivy@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Arai is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where she has worked since 2002. She specializes in class actions, including employment discrimination, consumer protection, antitrust, and environmental law.

Among her most recent cases, Ms. Arai worked on a \$1 billion dollar settlement for uninsured individuals against **Tenet Healthcare**. Currently, her work focuses on consumer protection cases, including **Mattel** and the **Thomas the Train** Lead Paint Litigation against RC2 and Learning Curve Brands. Ms. Arai is also working in class action suits against the Boeing Company for employment discrimination, and **Babies "R"** Us for antitrust violations.

Ms. Arai graduated *cum laude* from Princeton University. She subsequently earned her law degree from Georgetown University Law Center where she served on the *Georgetown International Environmental Law Review*. During law school, Ms. Arai also worked as a judicial extern for Judge Thomas S. Zilly of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. She is admitted to practice law in the state of Washington.

LAUREN GUTH BARNES

Direct Dial: (617) 475-1957 Email: lauren@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Barnes is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Cambridge office where she has worked since 2003. Her work has involved consumer protection, product liability, personal injury, and RICO litigation against drug and medical device manufacturers, including Eli Lilly, Guidant, Medtronic, and DePuy Spine. Ms. Barnes has had an active role in several recent preemption wins, enabling consumers and third party payers to continue consumer protection litigation against medical device makers.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Barnes worked with Conflict Management Group, a non-profit organization dedicated to promoting peaceful resolution of international disputes and teaching negotiation skills. During her association with the organization, Ms. Barnes worked with members of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees on a pilot project in Bosnia-Herzegovina designed to ease tensions and encourage reconciliation. Ms. Barnes helped edit a book developed out of the project – "Imagine Coexistence" – and contributed a chapter to it.

Ms. Barnes graduated *cum laude* from Williams College in 1998 with a Bachelor of Arts degree in International Relations. She earned her law degree *cum laude* from Boston College Law School in 2005, where she served as Articles Editor for the *Boston College Law Review*. She is admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and is active in the American Association for Justice and Massachusetts Academy of Trial Attorneys.

ELAINE T. BYSZEWSKI

Direct Dial: (213) 330-7150 Email: elaine@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Byszewski is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Los Angeles office where she has worked since 2004. Her work has included consumer and employee protection suits against Merck (Vioxx), AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals (Nexium), Berkeley Nutraceuticals (Enzyte), Solvay Pharmaceuticals (Estratest), Apple Computers, and Costco Wholesale Corporation, among others.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Byszewski focused her practice on public sector labor and employment litigation and counseling, including extensive work on a class action race discrimination case, a wrongful termination case and a disability discrimination case. During law school she worked in the trial division of the Attorney General of Massachusetts' office.

Among Ms. Byszewski's several publications are "Valuing Companion Animals in Wrongful Death Cases: A Survey of Current Court and Legislative Action and A Suggestion for Valuing Loss of Companionship" in *Animal Law Review* (2003), and "What's in the Wine? A History of FDA's Role" in *Food and Drug Law Journal* (2002).

Ms. Byszewski graduated summa cum laude from the University of Southern California in 1999 with a Bachelor of Science in public policy and management with a health care emphasis. She earned her law degree cum laude from Harvard Law School in 2002. She is admitted to practice law in the state of California.

KIMBERLY DOUGHERTY

Direct Dial: (617) 475-1964 Email: kimd@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Dougherty is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Cambridge office where she focuses her practice on civil litigation involving catastrophic injuries, pharmaceutical and medical device mass tort litigation, wrongful death, medical malpractice and personal injury actions.

Prior to joining the firm in 2007, Ms. Dougherty litigated cases involving catastrophically injured plaintiffs across the country in various pharmaceutical mass tort litigations. She has extensive experience in every facet of complex tort litigation from fact and expert discovery to Daubert litigation and preparation for and participation in trials.

Ms. Dougherty received her law degree from Northeastern University School of Law in 2003. She earned a master's degree in social work from Columbia University as well as a bachelor's degree *magna cum laude*, with highest honors in psychology, from Clark University and was elected to Phi Beta Kappa in 1997. Ms. Dougherty is a member of the Bar of Massachusetts. She is also a member of the American Association for Justice, the Massachusetts Academy of Trial Attorneys, is on the legislative policy committee for the Women's Bar Association of Massachusetts and is a pro bono attorney with the Women's Bar Foundation representing victims of domestic violence in probate matters.

STEVE FIMMEL

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9362 Email: stevef@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Fimmel is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 2006, bringing over 13 years of experience working on high-value, document intensive cases. He worked for 5 years at Oles, Morrison, Rinker & Baker where he was a key member of the litigation team that won a judgment in Idaho Federal District Court involving claims exceeding \$400 million. The court sustained an unprecedented Termination for Default against the Lockheed-Martin Corporation for breach of contract to remediate a nuclear waste site at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.

Prior to his work at Oles, Morrison, Rinker & Baker, Mr. Fimmel was an associate for seven years representing Hanford Downwinders at the Hanford Litigation Office in Seattle. Prior to his work at the Hanford Litigation Office, he was a sports anchor and reporter for KHQ-TV, Spokane's NBC affiliate. Through his senior year at the University of Washington and while attending law school at Lewis & Clark in Portland, Mr. Fimmel was the sports play-by-play and color broadcaster for Seattle's KCTS-TV on Seattle Sounder and Washington Husky basketball telecasts.

Mr. Fimmel is admitted to the Washington State Bar, the Federal Court for the Eastern District of Washington, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

DEBRA A. GAW

Direct Dial: (617) 475-1956 Email: debrag@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Gaw is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Cambridge office where she has worked since 2005.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Gaw was Vice President of Intellectual Property for a start-up pharmaceutical company. Ms. Gaw has served as intellectual property counsel, both in-house and in law firms, where she gained extensive experience counseling clients in all phases in the development, expansion, and

defense of intellectual property portfolios. Her clients included start-up and development stage companies, mid-sized corporations, and world class investigators in major academic institutions.

Ms. Gaw has specialized in the patent prosecution, trade secret protection and trademark issues unique to the pharmaceutical, biotechnology, and medical device industries. She has obtained patents for her clients in the areas of oncology, inflammatory diseases, antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral drugs, molecular imaging, diagnostic imaging, ultrasonic medical devices, replacement organs and tissues, surgical equipment, diagnostic assays, and nanotechnology-based biomedical devices. She has also developed patent portfolios for clients in the industrial chemical industry, primarily in coatings, paints, pigments, and polymeric materials. She has significant experience negotiating intellectual property license agreements, and the contracts common to the pharmaceutical industry.

Prior to her law career, Ms. Gaw was a polymer chemist developing specialty paints, coatings, adhesives and polymer materials; later she obtained extensive experience in regulatory affairs and clinical affairs in the pharmaceutical industry. Additionally, Ms. Gaw is named as a co-inventor on several patent applications, the technology of which are currently marketed or under development by various companies.

Ms. Gaw's case experience includes patent-related antitrust litigation against the brand-name prescription drug industry.

Ms. Gaw earned a Bachelor of Arts in Natural Science from St. Anshelm College. She did advanced coursework in biotechnology, polymer chemistry, food and drug law and international intellectual property law. She earned her law degree from Franklin Pierce Law Center. She is admitted to practice in Massachusetts, the District of Massachusetts, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and the United States Patent and Trademark Office. She has lectured on the conflict of laws between trademark law and FDA law in drug labeling, and various topics in securing and defending intellectual property rights for inventors and companies.

LEE M. GORDON

Direct Dial: (213) 330-7136 Email: lee@hbsslaw.com

CONTROL OF THE STREET, STREET,

Mr. Gordon is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Los Angeles office where his practice focuses on complex class actions. His recent cases include consumer and antitrust actions against several major pharmaceutical companies, and an employee protection suit against Costco.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Gordon's practice covered a broad spectrum of complex litigation matters. He represented and advised clients in antitrust litigation, employment litigation, single-state and nationwide class actions, breach of contract and breach of warranty litigation, intellectual property cases, real estate and land use disputes, and matters involving challenges to government regulations.

Mr. Gordon's employment law work included race discrimination, wrongful termination, disability discrimination, and compensation disputes. His class action work has included complex securities and unfair business practices litigation involving multi-million dollar claims against major public financial institutions and healthcare organizations such as Bank of America, Bear Stearns, and Paracelsus Healthcare Corporation.

Mr. Gordon graduated *summa cum laude* from the University of California at Los Angeles with a bachelor's degree in Philosophy. In 1994, he earned his law degree from Harvard Law School, graduating *cum laude*. In 2002, he also received an MBA from the Anderson Graduate School of Management at UCLA, where he graduated with honors and received fellowship grants. Mr. Gordon is admitted to practice law in the state of California.

LISA M. HASSELMAN

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9363 Email: lisah@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Hasselman is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where she has worked since 2006. She focuses on class action litigation, and has been instrumental in the Bextra/Celebrex MDL matter.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Hasselman was an associate at Danielson Harrigan Leyh & Tollefson in Seattle. While there, she participated on every level in complex state and federal litigation actions including drafting and arguing summary judgment motions, depositions, mediating cases and preparing and participating in a multi-party trial.

Ms. Hasselman has served on the board of Chaya, a non-profit addressing domestic violence in local South Asian communities. She has volunteered for multiple local legal aid organizations including the Northwest Women's Law Center's Self Help Committee, the Refugee Immigrant Advocacy Project, the Public Interest Law Association, Immigrant Families Advocacy Project, Street Youth Legal Advocates of Washington and King County Bar Association's Neighborhood Legal Clinics.

Ms. Hasselman graduated from the University of Michigan in 1996 with a Bachelor of Arts, English and Cultural Anthropology. She earned her law degree from the University of Washington School of Law in 2001. After law school, she clerked for the Honorable Marlin J. Appelwick at the Washington State Court of Appeals.

DANIEL KUROWSKI

Direct Dial: (708) 776-5606 Email: dank@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Kurowski is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Chicago office where he has worked since 2006. He joined the firm after serving in various capacities for a number of federal judges sitting with the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. Following law school, Mr. Kurowski served as a judicial clerk for Judge Paul E. Plunkett and also for Magistrate Judge Maria Valdez. Additionally, he worked as a judicial extern for Judge Ronald A. Guzman during law school.

Mr. Kurowski graduated with honors from Loyola University Chicago. He earned his law degree *cum laude* from The John Marshall Law School in 2005. While there, he served as an editorial board member for the *John Marshall Law Review* and received an award for an appellate brief he submitted in connection with a national moot court competition. During law school, he also interned with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois and with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, where he assisted in the investigation of housing discrimination complaints. He is admitted to practice law in the state of Illinois as well as in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

JEFFREY A. LANG

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9357 Email: jeffl@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Lang is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he is involved in the firm's pharmaceutical litigation.

Mr. Lang has several years of experience across a variety of practice areas. Prior to joining the firm, he practiced law at Preston Gates Ellis, where he was involved in the Microsoft antitrust litigation. He also

gained experience in land-use, SEPA, and zoning and building compliance through his positions with the Whalen & Company and the Law Offices of Dan Clawson.

Mr. Lang received his bachelor's degree from the University of Washington, and earned his law degree from the University of Puget Sound School of Law. He is admitted to practice in the state of Washington.

ROBERT F. LOPEZ

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9304 Email: robl@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Lopez is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 2004. He brings to the firm a broad range of legal experience including, complex commercial, franchise, and intellectual property, including trademark and trade-name, litigation; the law of trademark, trade name, and copyright; and commercial transactions.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Lopez was a founding member and owner of the Seattle firm Socius Law Group. His previous work included prosecuting and defending matters in the federal and state trial courts, including the probate department of the state superior court, and also federal and state administrative tribunals, the federal bankruptcy court, and the federal and state courts of appeal.

Mr. Lopez graduated from Gonzaga University in 1986 with a bachelor's degree in English Literature. He received his law degree in 1991 from the University of Washington, and is admitted to practice in the state of Washington.

BARBARA A. MAHONEY

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9308 Email: barbaram@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Mahoney is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where she has worked since 2006. She brings broad experience in complex commercial litigation, including unlawful competition, antitrust, securities, trademark, CERCLA, RICO, FLSA as well as federal aviation and maritime law.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Mahoney was an associate at Danielson Harrigan Leyh & Tollefson in Seattle. While there, she participated on every level in state and federal litigation actions including taking and defending depositions, drafting discovery requests, motions and mediation briefs and preparing for trials.

Ms. Mahoney was named a 2005 "Rising Star" by Washington Law & Politics. She enjoys soccer and running and is a former director of Rain City Soccer. Ms. Mahoney has volunteered at the Downtown Neighborhood Legal Clinic and is a Q Law mentor and a Cooperating Attorney with American Civil Liberties Union of Washington. With Justice Richard Sanders she co-authored Restoration of Limited State Constitutional Government: A Dissenter's View, 59 N.Y.U. ANN. SUR. Am. L. 269 (2003).

Ms. Mahoney graduated from the University of Washington School of Law in 2001. She also has a PhD in philosophy from the Universtät Freiburg, where she graduated *magna cum laude* in 1993. As a result of her many years in Europe and teaching in the United States she is fluent in German and has a strong reading knowledge of French. After law school, Ms. Mahoney clerked for the Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong of the Northern District of California and the Honorable Justice Richard B. Sanders of the Washington Supreme Court. Ms. Mahoney is admitted to practice law in the state of Washington, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Washington, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

TIMOTHY P. MAHONEY

Direct Dial: (708) 776-5605 Email: timm@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Mahoney is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Chicago office where he has worked since 2006.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Mahoney practiced as Senior Counsel with Neal Gerber Eisenberg LLP in Chicago. At Neal Gerber, Mr. Mahoney was a key member of a team of lawyers attempting to thwart a Fortune 10 company's efforts to pass billions of dollars of its environmental and asbestos liabilities on to the re-insurers of the company's captive insurer by deceitfully re-domesticating the captive insurance company to Bermuda, only to declare it bankrupt to attempt to take advantage of Bermuda's creditor friendly liquidation scheme. While at Neal Gerber, Mr. Mahoney also litigated products liability, professional liability, insurance coverage and complex commercial matters. Mr. Mahoney has first and second chair jury and bench trial experience, and has argued before the Illinois Appellate Court.

Mr. Mahoney graduated from IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law in 1993. In 2001, he earned a Masters of Business Administration with concentrations in finance and eBusiness at Case Western Reserve University's Weatherhead School of Management. While in business school Mr. Mahoney worked at a start-up eBusiness company in Cleveland, Ohio, where his duties included identifying and negotiating with potential strategic partners. Mr. Mahoney is admitted to practice law in the state of Illinois, the Northern District of Illinois and before the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals.

GREGORY H. MATTHEWS

Direct Dial: (617) 475-1959 Email: gregm@hbsslaw.com

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

Mr. Matthews is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Cambridge office where he has worked since 2005. Mr. Matthews' varied dossier includes experience in a variety of areas, such as tax, social security, bankruptcy, labor and employment, civil rights, immigration, disability, copyright and trademark law.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Matthews was an assistant district attorney for the Middlesex County District Attorney's Office. In this position he analyzed, drafted and litigated appeals before the Supreme Judicial Court and the Appeals Court. He also maintained an extensive caseload of 150 cases in various stages of prosecution, encompassing both bench and jury trials.

Mr. Matthews also gained experience as an attorney with the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in San Francisco, California. In this position he researched and drafted bench memoranda and depositions for the court and orally presented those depositions to three-judge panels bi-monthly. He was also responsible for research in a variety of legal areas. Mr. Matthews was also an attorney with the Sierra Club Legal Defense Fund, where he worked on issues related to the Endangered Species Act, Environmental Policy Act and National Forest Management Act.

Mr. Matthews received his bachelor's degree in legal studies from Northeastern University in Boston, Massachusetts where he also earned his law degree. He is admitted to practice in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

MARTIN D. MCLEAN

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9359 Email: martym@hbsslaw.com

Mr. McLean is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where he has worked since 2007.

DIEGO RODRIGUEZ

Direct Dial: (602) 224-2630 Email: diego@hbsslaw.com

Mr. Rodriguez is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Phoenix office where he has worked since 2004. He brings to the firm several years of civil and criminal trial experience.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Rodriguez practiced law at the largest plaintiffs' personal injury law firm in Arizona. As part of that practice he represented plaintiffs in personal injury, product liability and wrongful death cases.

Before entering private practice, Mr. Rodriguez served as a deputy county attorney in the criminal division of the Office of the Pima County Attorney which is located in Tucson, Ariz. During his four years with that office, Mr. Rodriguez personally handled hundreds of cases and conducted 60 felony jury trials for a host of offenses including vehicular crimes, property crimes, fraud, child abuse, and first-degree homicides.

After he left his position as a deputy county attorney, Mr. Rodriguez gained even more litigation expertise in the areas of insurance defense, construction defect, toxic torts, insurance coverage, general business litigation, and automobile product liability defense, while practicing at Gust Rosenfeld, P.C. and Snell & Wilmer LLP, both major defense firms.

Mr. Rodriguez graduated from the University of Notre Dame in 1992, with a bachelor's degree in Finance, and received his law degree from the University of Arizona in 1995. He is a member of the State Bar of Arizona and is authorized to represent clients in both the Arizona and Colorado federal district courts.

SHANA E. SCARLETT

Direct Dial: (510) 725-3032 Email: shanas@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Scarlett is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's San Francisco office where she specializes in the prosecution of class actions, including securities fraud, consumer protection and privacy rights violation. Among her most recent cases, she worked along side HBSS and co-counsel, Reed Kathrein, on a \$1 billion settlement with Tenet Healthcare.

Ms. Scarlett has worked in the San Francisco area since 2001 and has had her hand in several large cases. She participated in *In re National Security Agency Telecommunications Record Litigation*, and in particular the lead case, *Hepting*, et al. v. AT&T, et al., defeating motions of several telecommunications companies and the Department of Justice.

Ms. Scarlett was also a member of the litigation team in *In re Sony BMG Audio Compact Disc Litigation*, where she helped plaintiffs to secure a multi-million dollar settlement for consumers and an agreement from Sony BMG to stop using harmful rootkit software that created a number of serious security, privacy and consumer protection issues on music CDs.

Ms. Scarlett graduated with a bachelor's degree from the University of British Columbia and received her law degree from Stanford Law School.

RONNIE SEIDEL SPIEGEL

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9343 Email: ronnie@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Spiegel is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where she has worked since 2005.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Spiegel practiced as a senior associate at Spector Roseman & Kodroff in Philadelphia. During her tenure at Spector Roseman & Kodroff, she also managed the firm's North Carolina office. Ms. Spiegel has extensive experience managing complex litigation. She has worked on trial teams, developed case strategy, and has coordinated and organized all aspects of discovery in large class action cases.

Ms. Spiegel focuses on antitrust litigation. Her case experience includes: In re DRAM Antitrust Litigation; In re Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust; In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation; In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation; In re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litigation; In re Commercial Tissue Paper Antitrust Litigation; In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation; and In re Linerboard Antitrust Litigation.

Ms. Spiegel earned a Bachelor of Arts in International Relations from Boston University. She earned her law degree from Temple University's Beasley School of Law, where she was an editor of the *Temple Law Review*. She is admitted to practice in Washington and Pennsylvania as well as in federal court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the Western District of Washington.

SHAYNE C. STEVENSON

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9340 Email: shaynes@hbsslaw.com

English and the control of the contr

Mr. Stevenson is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, joining the firm in 2007, where he focuses on class action work.

He graduated first in his class from Gonzaga University in 1996 (summa cum laude) with a Bachelor of Arts in Philosophy and Political Science. He was recognized as a Truman Scholar in 1995, was active in Habitat for Humanity and became a member of Alpha Sigma Nu. Mr. Stevenson graduated from Yale Law School in 2000. While at Yale, he was co-founder and director of the YLS Workers' Rights Project. While director, the Project drafted and filed a successful petition on behalf of thousands of immigrant workers under the NAFTA labor side agreement (with the endorsement of the AFL-CIO, ACLU, and numerous other civil and labor rights groups). The Project also supported local labor organizing efforts, and collaborated with labor rights groups to fight against sweatshops in Manhattan and Brooklyn.

Additionally, he served as submissions editor of the *Yale Law and Policy Review*, participated in the Greenhaven Prison Project (a prisoner rehabilitation effort in New York), and represented tenants in New Haven against landlord abuse. He was also an intern in the U.S. Attorney's Office in Connecticut.

Mr. Stevenson then completed two federal clerkships. First as a law clerk to the Honorable Charles S. Haight, Jr., of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2000-01); then as a law clerk for the Honorable Betty B. Fletcher, of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (2001-02).

Following his clerkships, Mr. Stevenson developed his skills as a trial lawyer while working as a felony prosecutor in Seattle for over four years, successfully prosecuting hundreds of felony cases, including

crimes of armed robbery, rape, murder, and assault in the first degree. He ended his tenure with an emphasis on the most egregious crimes of domestic violence.

He is admitted to practice in the State of Washington.

ANDREW ST. JOHN

Direct Dial: (602) 224-2625 Email: andy@hbsslaw.com

Mr. St. John is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Phoenix office. Currently, he is involved in the firm's pharmaceutical litigation, insurance bad faith litigation, and several class actions.

Prior to, and during, his education Mr. St. John served in the United States military where he achieved the rank of sergeant. He was a crewman and gunner on an M1A1 Abrams main battle tank in two different armored battalions and an armored cavalry unit. His duties included being the unit retention NCO, demolitions/explosives expert, Sergeant of the Guard, and training NCO. Sergeant St. John earned expert pistol and rifle badges and was also awarded several medals and commendations for distinguished service.

Mr. St. John graduated *magna cum laude* with a Bachelor of Science in Psychology from Arizona State University. During his undergraduate education Mr. St. John was an intern in the Arizona Governor's Office during the 1998 legislative session.

Mr. St. John earned his law degree at the Sandra Day O'Connor School of Law, with High Pro Bono Distinction. While in law school he served as a staff writer for the *Arizona State Law Journal* and was an extern for both U.S. District Judge Paul G. Rosenblatt and the Arizona Supreme Court Staff Attorney's Office. Mr. St. John also represented many clients at the school's civil practice clinic.

Mr. St. John is a member of the State Bar of Arizona and is authorized to represent clients in Arizona and Colorado federal district courts.

GENESSA A. STOUT

Direct Dial: (206) 268-9365 Email: genessa@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Stout is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro where she has worked since 2003. Her work has included the Hungarian Gold Train Litigation, Bextra/Celebrex, General Motors ERISA Litigation, and Countrywide ERISA Litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Stout worked in Beijing and Cheng Du, China teaching English and Science and developing cultural exchange programs between China, the United States, and the European Union.

Ms. Stout graduated *cum laude* from the University of Washington with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Speech Communications. She earned her law degree from Seattle University School of Law in 2006. While in law school Ms. Stout served as a staff editor for the *Seattle Journal for Social Justice* and served on the Juvenile Court Accountability Board. During law school Ms. Stout also interned for the Federal Trade Commission where she worked on the CAN SPAM Act and the Do Not Call Registry. She is admitted to practice law in the State of Washington.

AMY M. WILKINS

Direct Dial: (602) 224-2636 Email: amyw@hbsslaw.com

Ms. Wilkins is an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro's Phoenix office where she has worked since 2007. She has focused her practice on consumer class actions and appellate work.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Wilkins practiced commercial litigation for six years in Phoenix and Los Angeles, focusing on consumer and employment class actions, commercial contract disputes, wrongful competition cases, and employment disputes. She has also represented several pro bono clients.

Ms. Wilkins served on the board of directors of EMPACT-SPC (one of Arizona's largest behavioral health organizations) for two years. She was a member of the Horace Rumpole Inn of Court and is currently involved in the Arizona Women Lawyer's Association.

Ms. Wilkins graduated summa cum laude from the University of Arizona in 1995 with a Bachelor of Arts in creative writing. Prior to law school, Ms. Wilkins worked as an editor and writer in the tourism and technology fields. She graduated magna cum laude from the James E. Rogers College of Law at the University of Arizona in 2001. In law school, Ms. Wilkins served as Editor-in-Chief of the Arizona Law Review, was an Ares Fellow (a teaching assistant for a first-year small section), and was awarded the law school's Outstanding Senior award at graduation. She is admitted to practice in Arizona and California.

References

REPRESENTATION OF STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE TOBACCO LITIGATION

David Walsh 1125 Washington Street S.E., P.O. Box 401 Olympia, WA 98504-0100 (360) 753-6228

REPRESENTATION OF ARIZONA IN THE TOBACCO LITIGATION

Former Attorney General Grant Woods Grant Woods PC 1726 North Seventh Street Phoenix, AZ 85006 (602) 258-2599

REPRESENTATION OF MICROSOFT

Richard Wallis Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052-6399 (425) 882-8080

REPRESENTATION OF INVESTMENT ADVISOR IN VARIOUS LITIGATIONS

Brent E. Johnson Johnson Capital Management, Inc. 12500 Fair Lakes Circle, Suite 280 Fairfax, VA 22033 (703) 803-4100

REPRESENTATION OF NEVADA IN THE PHARMACEUTICAL LITIGATION

L. Timothy Terry Nevada Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud Control Unit 100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 (775) 684-1191

A Perspective on our Performance from the Bench

Remarks from the Honorable Patti B. Saris, presiding over the AWP Litigation of which Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro was lead trial counsel.

THE COURT: I wanted to express appreciation again to class counsel for taking this case. I believe these are the kinds of cases Federal Courts should do and are appropriate for class resolution. And while it's a huge burden in terms of time and resources, it's one of the things that the Multidistrict Litigation Court should appropriately do.

Perhaps one of the most telling references comes from the concluding comments of the Judge presiding over the State of Oregon's case against Big Tobacco.

MR. BERMAN: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else for the record? Well, may I take just a couple more minutes of your time to say this has been an extraordinary experience from my perspective. We have a lot of emphasis in our profession these days about people complaining that lawyers are not professional, that they are not civil, they do things in the manner in which they practice that discredits the profession, and I wish those critics could have seen all of you in action.

This has been an extraordinary experience from my perspective. I cannot conceive of another case where there has been so much at stake, and all of you, from the outsiders to local counsel, have been extraordinarily responsible to resolve as much as you could and to bring to me only the issues that really needed rulings. I cannot tell you how much I appreciate all of your work in that regard, and I know that that was you, as individuals, doing the important thing because in a case of this magnitude, with local and regional and national and international levels of attorneys, it's very hard to connect with people.

I just hope some time before I stop during this wonderful year, I have another chance to see this kind of lawyering. It's been stunning, and I really want to say that it's been a wonderful experience.

So, Hallelujah.

KAPLAN FOX

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP FIRM AND ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP is a firm engaged in the general practice of law with an emphasis on complex and class action securities, antitrust, consumer protection and product liability litigation. The firm has actively participated in numerous complex and class actions throughout the country for over twenty years. It is presently active in major litigations pending in federal and state courts throughout the country.

The firm and its members have served as lead or co-lead counsel, as executive committee member or as liaison counsel, and made significant contributions in many complex class and other multi-party actions in which substantial recoveries were obtained, including the following:

In re Brand Name Prescription Drug Antitrust Litigation,

MDL 997 (N.D. Ill.) (\$720 plus million recovered)

In re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litigation,

MDL 1087, (C.D Ill) (\$531 million recovered)

In re Baycol Products Litigation,

MDL 1431-MJD/JGL (D. Minn.)(\$350 million recovered to date)

In re 3Com Securities Litigation,

No. C-97-21083-EAI (N.D.Ca) (\$259 million recovered)

In re MicroStrategy Securities Litigation,

No. CV-00-473-A (E.D.Va) (\$155 million recovered)

<u>In re Informix Securities Litigation</u>, C-97-129-CRB (N.D.Ca) (\$136.5 million recovered)

In re Infant Formula Antitrust Litigation,

MDL 878 (N.D.Fla) (\$126 million recovered)

In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation.

MDL 1200 (W.D.P.) (\$121 million recovered)

<u>In re Providian Credit Card Cases</u>, JCCP 4085 (Sup. Ct., S.F. County, CA); <u>In re Providian Financial Corp. Credit Card Terms Litigation</u>,

MDL No. 1301-WY (E.D. Pa.)((\$105 million recovered)

In re Xcel Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation,

Master File No. 02-CV-2677-DSD (D.Mn) (\$80 million recovered)

In re Elan Corporation Securities Litigation,

No. 02-CV-0865-RMB (S.D.N.Y.) (\$75 million recovered)

In re Ocean Shipping Antitrust Litigation,

MDL 395 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$51 million recovered)

In re L.A. Gear Securities Litigations, CV-90-2832-KN(Bx); CV-91-0400-KN(Bx); CV-91-4039-MRP(Jrx) (C.D.Ca.) (\$50 million plus recovered)

Rosen, et al. v. Macromedia, Inc., et al.

Case No. 988526 (Sup. Ct., SF County Ca.) (\$48 million recovered)

In re Ames Department Stores Securities Litigation,

MDL No. 924 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$46 million recovered)

In re Medical X-Ray Film Antitrust Litigation,

CV 93-5904 (E.D.N.Y.) (\$39.6 million recovered)

In re Genentech, Inc. Securities Litigation,

C-88-4038-DLJ (N.D.Ca.) (\$29 million recovered)

In re Tele-Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation,

C-97-421(C.D.Ca.) (\$26.5 million recovered)

<u>In re Sun Healthcare Group, Inc. Litigation</u>, C-95-7005-JC/WWD (D.N.M.) (\$24 million recovered)

In re PepsiCo Securities Litigation, 82 Civ. 8288

(S.D.N.Y.) (\$21 million recovered)

In re Centennial Technologies Litigation, 97-10304-REK (D. Mass.)

(\$21.5 million recovered and other consideration)

Scheatzle, et al. v. Eubanks, et al.,

C-92-20785-JW(EAI) (N.D.Ca.) (\$18.6 million recovered)

In re Screws Antitrust Litigation,

MDL 443 (D. Mass.) (\$20 Million recovered)

Kensington Capital Management v. Oakley, Inc., et. al.,

No. SACV97-808 GLT (Eex) (C.D.Ca) (\$17.5 million recovered)

In re Computer Memories Securities Litigation,

No. C-85-2335 (A)-EFL (N.D.Ca.) (\$15.5 million recovered)

In re Computer Memories Securities Litigation,

C-85-2335 (A)-EFL (N.D.Ca.) (\$15.5 million recovered)

In re Wyse Technology Securities Litigation,

C-89-1818-WHO (N.D.Ca.) (\$15.5 million recovered)

Provenz v. Miller, et al., C-92-20159-RMW (N.D.Ca.) (\$15 million recovered)

<u>In re Gupta Corporation Securities Litigation</u>, C-94-1517-FMS (N.D.Ca.) (\$14.25 million recovered)

In re MicroPro Securities Litigation,

C-85-7428-EFL (N.D.Ca.) (\$14 million recovered)

<u>In re Immunex Securities Litigation</u>, C-92-48 WD (W.D.Wa.) (\$14 million recovered)

Barry Hallet, Jr. v. Li & Fung, Ltd., et al., 95 Civ. 8917 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$13.65 million recovered)

Stuart Markus v. The North Face, Inc., et al.

No. 97-Z-473 (D.Co) (\$12.5 million recovered)

Mel Klein v. Laura L. King, et al., C-88-3141-FMS (N.D.Ca.) (\$11.65 million recovered)

Igor Cheredrichenko, et al. v. Quarterdeck Corp., et al., Case No. 97-4320 (GHK) (C.D. Ca.) (\$11 million recovered)

<u>In re Chevenne Software, Inc. Securities Litigation</u>, 94 Civ. 2771 (E.D.N.Y.) (\$10.25 million recovered)

The following are the attorneys of the firm who regularly engage in complex litigation:

PARTNERS

ROBERT N. KAPLAN Widely recognized as a leading securities litigator, Robert Kaplan has led the prosecution of numerous securities fraud class actions and shareholder derivative actions, recovering hundreds of millions of dollars for the victims of corporate wrongdoing. He also has earned a reputation as a leading litigator in the antitrust and consumer protection arenas. Mr. Kaplan has been with Kaplan Fox for 30 years, joining in 1971.

Mr. Kaplan honed his litigation skills as a trial attorney with the U.S. Department of Justice. There, he gained significant experience litigating both civil and criminal actions. He also served as law clerk to the Hon. Sylvester J. Ryan, then chief judge of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Mr. Kaplan's published articles include: "Complaint and Discovery In Securities Cases," *Trial*, April 1987; "Franchise Statutes and Rules," *Westchester Bar Topics*, Winter 1983; "Roots Under Attack: *Alexander v. Haley* and *Courlander v. Haley*," *Communications and the Law*, July 1979; and "Israeli Antitrust Policy and Practice," *Record of the Association of the Bar*, May 1971.

In addition, Mr. Kaplan served as an acting judge of the City Court for the City of Rye, N.Y., from 1990 to 1993.

Mr. Kaplan sits on the boards of several community organizations, including the Board of Directors of the Carver Center in Port Chester, N.Y., and the Board of Directors of the Rye Free Reading Room in Rye, N.Y.

Education:

- B.A., Williams College (1961)
- J.D., Columbia University Law School (1964)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1964)
- U.S. Supreme Court
- U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Seventh, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Eastern, and Northern Districts of New York, the Central District of Illinois, and the District of Arizona

Professional affiliations:

- National Association of Securities and Commercial Law Attorneys (past President)
- Committee to Support the Antitrust Laws (past President)
- Advisory Group of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York
- American Bar Association
- Association of Trial Lawyers of America (Chairman, Commercial Litigation Section, 1985-86)
- Association of the Bar of the City of New York (served on the Trade Regulation Committee; Committee on Federal Courts)

Mr. Kaplan can be reached by email at: RKaplan@kaplanfox.com

FREDERIC S. FOX Fred Fox first associated with Kaplan Fox in 1984, and became a partner in the firm in 1991. He has concentrated his work in the area of securities fraud litigation. Mr. Fox has played important roles in many major securities fraud cases. He was one of the lead trial lawyers in two recent securities class actions, one of which was the first case tried to verdict under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Mr. Fox is the author of "Current Issues and Strategies in Discovery in Securities Litigation," ATLA, 1989 Reference Material; "Securities Litigation: Updates and Strategies," ATLA, 1990 Reference Material; and "Contributory Trademark Infringement: The Legal

Standard after Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives Laboratories," University of Bridgeport Law Review, Vol. 4, No. 2.

During law school, Mr. Fox was the notes and comments editor of the University of Bridgeport Law Review.

Education:

- B.A., Queens College (1981)
- J.D., Bridgeport School of Law (1984)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1985)
- U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Circuits
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

Professional affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- Association of the Bar of the City of New York
- Association of Trial Lawyers of America (Chairman, Commercial Law Section, 1991-92)

Mr. Fox can be reached by email at: FFox@kaplanfox.com

RICHARD J. KILSHEIMER first associated with Kaplan Fox in 1976, and became a partner in the firm in 1983. His practice is concentrated in the area of antitrust litigation, and he has played significant roles in several of the largest antitrust class actions in the country. He also practices in the areas of securities fraud and commercial litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Kilsheimer served as law clerk to the Hon. Lloyd F. MacMahon (1975-76), formerly Chief Judge of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Mr. Kilsheimer is co-author of "Secondary Liability Developments," ABA Litigation Section, Subcommittee on Secondary Liability, 1991-1994.

Education:

- A.B., University of Notre Dame (1972)
- J.D., cum laude, St. John's University (1975)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- State of New York (1976)
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (1983)
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York (1976) and the Northern District of Indiana (1987)

Professional affiliations:

- Association of the Bar of the City of New York
- Federal Bar Council
- Committee to Support the Antitrust Laws

Association of Trial Lawyers of America

Mr. Kilsheimer can be reached by email at: RKilsheimer@kaplanfox.com

GREGORY K. ARENSON is a seasoned business litigator with experience representing clients in a variety of areas, including antitrust, securities, employee termination, fraud, contract, and unfair competition. His economics background provides unique insights on antitrust liability and damages issues. Mr. Arenson has been a partner in the firm since 1993.

Prior to joining Kaplan Fox, Mr. Arenson was a partner with Proskauer Rose. Earlier in his career, he was a partner with Schwartz Klink & Schreiber, and an associate with Rudnick & Wolfe (now Piper Marbury).

Mr. Arenson writes frequently on discovery issues and the use of experts. Recently published articles include: "Who Should Bear the Burden of Producing Electronic Information?" 7 Federal Discovery News, No. 5, at 3 (April 2001); "Work Product vs. Expert Disclosure – No One Wins," 6 Federal Discovery News, No. 9, at 3 (August 2000); "Practice Tip: Reviewing Deposition Transcripts," 6 Federal Discovery News, No. 5, at 13 (April 2000); and "The Civil Procedure Rules: No More Fishing Expeditions," 5 Federal Discovery News, No. 9, at 3 (August 1999). He was also co-author of "The Good, the Bad and the Unnecessary: Comments on the Proposed Changes to the Federal Civil Discovery Rules," 4 NYLitigator 30 (December 1998); co-author of "The Search for Reliable Expertise: Comments on Proposed Amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence," 4 NYLitigator 24 (December 1998); co-editor of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 1993 Amendments, A Practical Guide, published by the New York State Bar Association; and a co-author of "Report on the Application of Statutes of Limitation in Federal Litigation," 53 Albany Law Review 3 (1988).

Mr. Arenson's *pro bono* activities include service as a mediator in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. In addition, he is an active alumnus of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, serving as a member of the Corporation, a member of the Corporation Development Committee, vice president of the Association of Alumni/ae, and member of the Alumni/ae Fund Board (of which he was a past chair).

Education:

- S.B., Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1971)
- J.D., University of Chicago (1975)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of Illinois (1975)
- Bar of the State of New York (1978)
- U.S. Supreme Court
- U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second and Seventh Circuits
- U.S. District Courts for the Northern and Central Districts of Illinois, and the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York
- U.S. Tax Court

Professional affiliations:

- New York State Bar Association, Federal Litigation Section, Committee on Federal Procedure (Chairman since 1997)
- Association of the Bar of the City of New York
- American Bar Association
- Member, advisory board, Federal Discovery News (1999 present)

Mr. Arenson can be reached by email at: GArenson@kaplanfox.com

LAURENCE D. KING Larry King first associated with Kaplan Fox in 1994, and became a partner in the firm in 1998. Mr. King, who practices in the areas of securities and consumer litigation, is a resident partner in the firm's San Francisco office. Mr. King has played a substantial role in cases that have resulted in some of the largest recoveries obtained by Kaplan Fox and was one of the lead trial lawyers in two recent securities class actions, one of which was the first case tried to verdict under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Prior to joining Kaplan Fox, Mr. King honed his litigation skills as an assistant district attorney for New York County, where he tried numerous felony prosecutions to a jury verdict.

Education:

- B.S., Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania (1985)
- J.D., Fordham University School of Law (1988)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of Connecticut (1988)
- Bar of the State of New York (1989)
- Bar of the State of New Jersey (1993)
- Bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (1993)
- Bar of the State of California (2000)
- U.S. District Courts for the District of New Jersey, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the Central District of California

Professional affiliations:

- New York State Bar Association
- New Jersey State Bar Association
- San Francisco Bar Association
- American Bar Association

Mr. King can be reached by email at: LKing@kaplanfox.com

JOEL B. STRAUSS first associated with Kaplan Fox in 1992, and became a partner in the firm in 1999. He practices in the area of securities and consumer fraud class action litigation, with a special emphasis on accounting and auditing issues.

Prior to joining Kaplan Fox, Mr. Strauss served as a senior auditor with one of the former "Big Eight" accounting firms. Combining his accounting background and legal skills, he has played a critical role in successfully prosecuting numerous securities class actions across the country on behalf of shareholders. Mr. Strauss was one of the lead trial lawyers for the plaintiffs in the first case to go to trial and verdict under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Although currently practicing exclusively in the area of law, Mr. Strauss is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the State of New York.

Mr. Strauss has also been a guest lecturer on the topics of securities litigation, auditors' liability and class actions for seminars sponsored by the Practicing Law Institute and the National Consumer Law Center.

Education:

- B.A., Yeshiva University (1986)
- J.D., Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law (1992)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New Jersey
- Bar of the State of New York
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District of New Jersey
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

Professional affiliations:

- American Bar Association (member, Litigation Section, Rule 23 Subcommittee)
- Association of the Bar of the City of New York
- New York State Bar Association
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Mr. Strauss can be reached by email at: <u>JStrauss@kaplanfox.com</u>

LINDA P. NUSSBAUM joined Kaplan Fox in February 2007 as a partner. She previously was the resident Partner of the New York City office of Cohen Milstein Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.

She is presently in a lead counsel position in a number of significant antitrust class actions pending throughout the United States including: In re Plastics Additives Antitrust Litigation (E.D., Pa); In re DDAVP Litigation (S.D.N.Y.); In re Ovcon Litigation (D.D.C.); In re Plavix Litigation (S.D. Oh.); In re Metoprolol Succinate Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation (U.S.D.C., Delaware); and Meijer, Inc. et al v. Braintree Laboratories (U.S.D.C. Delaware).

She has recently served as lead counsel in a number of antitrust class actions that have resolved favorably for the plaintiff class including In re Lozazepam nd Clorazepate Antitrust Litigation (D.D.C.) where, in approving the \$35 million settlement, Chief Judge Hogan commented, "Obviously, the skill of the attorneys, and I'm not going to spend the time reviewing

it, I'm familiar with counsel, and they, as I said, are among the best antitrust litigators in the country;" In re Relafen Antitrust Squibb Co. (D. Mass); and Oncology Radiation Associates, P.A. v. Bristol Myers Squibb Co. (D.D.C.) (\$65 million settlement).

Ms. Nussbaum is a member of the American Law Institute. She has lectured at the ABA Antitrust Section Spring Meeting on several occasions, including the 2007 55th Annual Antitrust Law Spring Meeting, at the University of San Francisco Pharmaceutical Antitrust Seminar, and recently at the New York State Bar Antitrust Law Section Annual Meeting,

Ms. Nussbaum is admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Education:

- B.A., Brooklyn College (1974)
- J.D., George Washington University (1977)
- LL.M., Degree in Taxation from New York University School of Law (1984)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1974)
- Bar of the District of Columbia
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Eastern and Northern Districts of New York and the District of Columbia
- Supreme Court of the United States

Professional affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- New York State Bar Association

Ms. Nussbaum can be reached by email at LNussbaum@kaplanfox.com

HAE SUNG NAM first associated with Kaplan Fox in 1999 and became a partner in the firm in 2005. She practices in the areas of securities and antitrust litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Nam was an associate with Kronish Lieb Weiner & Hellman LLP, where she trained in corporate securities law and mergers and acquisitions. She also served as an intern for the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division.

During law school, Ms. Nam was a member of the George Washington University Law Review. She is the author of a case note, "Radio – Inconsistent Application Rule," 64 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. (1996).

Education:

- B.A., magna cum laude, Syracuse University (1994)
- J.D., with honors, George Washington University School of Law (1997)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1998)
- U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

Professional affiliations:

New York State Bar Association

American Bar Association

Ms. Nam can be reached by email at: HNam@kaplanfox.com

DONALD R. HALL first associated with Kaplan Fox in 1998 and became a partner in the firm in 2005. He practices in the areas of antitrust, securities, and civil litigation.

During law school, Mr. Hall was a member of the Fordham Urban Law Journal and a member of the Fordham Moot Court Board. He also participated in the Criminal Defense Clinic, representing criminal defendants in federal and New York State courts on a pro-bono basis.

Education:

- B.A., College of William and Mary (1995)
- J.D., Fordham University School of Law (1998)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of Connecticut (2001)
- Bar of the State of New York (2001)
- U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York

Professional affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- Association of Trial Lawyers of America
- New York State Bar Association

Mr. Hall can be reached by email at: DHall@kaplanfox.com

JASON A. ZWEIG became associated with Kaplan Fox in January 2003, and became a partner of the firm in 2007.. He practices in the areas of securities, antitrust, and other areas of civil litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Zweig was an associate with Proskauer Rose LLP in New York where he practiced in all areas of civil and criminal litigation.

During law school, Mr. Zweig was Executive Editor for the Columbia Journal of Environmental Law.

Education:

- B.S., Indiana University (1995)
- J.D., Columbia University School of Law (1998)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1999)
- U.S. Dist. Court for the Southern District of New York (2000)
- U.S. Dist. Court for the Eastern District of New York (2000)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2001)

Professional affiliations:

Association of the Bar of the City of New York

Mr. Zweig can be reached by email at: JZweig@kaplanfox.com

ASSOCIATES

CHRISTINE FOX has been associated with Kaplan Fox since 1995. She practices in the areas of securities and antitrust litigation.

Education:

- B.S., Cornell University (1992)
- J.D., University of Michigan Law School (1994)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1995)
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

Professional Affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- New York State Bar Association
- New York County Lawyers Association
- Bar Association of the City of New York

Ms. Fox can be reached by email at: CFox@kaplanfox.com

LORI S. BRODY practices in the area of complex litigation. She first became associated with Kaplan Fox in 2001, and is resident in the firm's Los Angeles office.

Education:

- B.A, University of California, Berkeley (1987)
- J.D., University of California, Los Angeles (1990)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of California (1990)
- U.S. District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California Ms. Brody can be reached by email at: <u>LBrody@kaplanfox.com</u>

JEFFREY P. CAMPISI became associated with Kaplan Fox in February 2004. He practices in the areas of securities, antitrust, and other areas of civil litigation.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Campisi served as law clerk to the Hon. Herbert J. Hutton. Also, Mr. Campisi was an associate with Dewey Ballantine LLP in New York where he practiced in all areas of civil litigation.

During law school, Mr. Campisi was a member of the Villanova Law Review.

Education:

- B.A., cum laude, Georgetown University (1996)
- J.D., summa cum laude, Villanova University School of Law (2000)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (2001)
- U.S. Dist. Court for the Southern District of New York (2001)
- U.S. Dist. Court for the Eastern District of New York (2001)

Professional affiliations:

American Bar Association

Mr. Campisi can be reached by email at: <u>JCampisi@kaplanfox.com</u>

LOUIS A. KESSLER joined the firm's San Francisco office in 2005. Mr. Kessler focuses his practice on Antitrust, Securities Fraud and Consumer Fraud class actions. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Kessler was an associate at Much Shelist Freed Denenberg Ament & Rubenstein PC in Chicago, Illinois. He also has worked for the Enforcement Division of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and for Equal Rights Advocates, a public interest law firm, in San Francisco.

Education:

- B.A., Physics and Philosophy, Amherst College (1997)
- J.D., The Law School, The University of Chicago (2002)

Bar Affiliations and Court Admissions:

- State Bar of Illinois (2002)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (2002)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin (2003)
- State Bar of California (pending)

Professional Affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- Chicago Bar Association

Mr. Kessler can be reached by email at: LKessler@kaplanfox.com

MELINDA RODON has been associated with Kaplan Fox since September 2004. She practices in the areas of securities, antitrust, and other areas of civil litigation.

While attending law school, Ms. Rodon provided pro bono legal services to the Philadelphia community through the Civil Practice Clinic of the University of Pennsylvania Law School as well as the Homeless Advocacy Project. She also conducted pro bono legal research for the Southern Poverty Law Center.

Education:

- B.A., University of Missouri (2000)
- J.D., University of Pennsylvania Law School (2004)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

Bar of the State of New York, admission pending

Ms. Rodon can be reached by email at: MRodon@kaplanfox.com

WHITNEY E. STREET became associated with Kaplan Fox in May 2006. She practices in the areas of securities, antitrust, and other areas of civil litigation. During law school, Ms. Street was a member of the Virginia Journal of Social Policy and the Law and the Virginia Journal of Law and Technology and provided pro bono legal services to the Charlottesville community through the Legal Aid Justice Center.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Street was an associate with Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP in San Francisco where she practiced in all areas of civil litigation.

Education:

- B.A., Economics and English Literature, University of Virginia (1999)
- J.D., University of Virginia School of Law (2002)

Bar Affiliations:

- Bar of the State of California (2002)
- Bar of the State of Texas (2004)

Ms. Street can be reached by email at: WStreet@kaplanfox.com

AVIAH COHEN PIERSON has been associated with Kaplan Fox since September 2005. She practices in the areas of antitrust, securities, and other areas of civil litigation. During law school, Ms. Pierson interned for Judge Mark D. Fox in the Southern District of New York. In addition, she was a member of the Fordham Law Review.

Education:

- B.A., summa cum laude, University of Pennsylvania (2000)
- J.D., Fordham University, School of Law (2005)

Bar Affiliations and Court Admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (2006)
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

Ms. Pierson can be reached by email at: Acohenpierson@kaplanfox.com

OF COUNSEL

MARY G. MORRIS practices in the area of securities litigation. She associated with the firm in 2002. Prior to joining Kaplan Fox, Ms. Morris served as Treasurer of Virginia and before that served as Virginia's Senior Assistant Attorney General for Finance and Tax, responsible for all the Commonwealth's tax litigation.

Education:

- B. A., with distinction, Christopher Newport College of the College of William and Mary (1976)
- J.D., Marshall-Wythe School of Law, College of William and Mary (1981)
- M.L. & T., Marshall-Wythe School of Law, College of William and Mary (1982)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of Virginia (1981)
- U.S. Supreme Court
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
- U.S. District Courts for the Eastern and Western Districts of Virginia

Professional affiliations:

- Virginia State Bar Association
- Virginia Women Attorneys Association
- National Association of Bond Lawyers

Ms. Morris can be reached by email at: MMorris@kaplanfox.com

W. MARK MCNAIR practices in the area of securities litigation with a special emphasis on institutional investor involvement. He associated with the firm in 2003, and is resident in Washington, D.C. Prior to entering private practice, he was an attorney at the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.

Education:

- B.A. with honors, University of Texas at Austin (1972)
- J.D. University of Texas at Austin (1975)
- L.L.M. (Securities) Georgetown University (1989)

Mr. McNair can be reached at MMcnair@kaplanfox.com

LINDA M. FONG practices in the areas of general business and consumer protection class action litigation. She has been associated with Kaplan Fox since 2001, and is resident in the firm's San Francisco office. Ms. Fong serves on the Board of the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association and is active in its Women's Caucus.

Education:

- J.D., University of San Francisco School of Law (1985)
- B.S., with honors, University of California, Davis
- Elementary Teaching Credential, University of California, Berkeley

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of California (1986)
- U.S. District Courts for the Northern and Eastern Districts of California
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Professional affiliations:

San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association

- Asian American Bar Association
- Bar Association of San Francisco
- Trial Lawyers for Public Justice
- Consumer Attorneys of California

Awards:

- Presidential Award of Merit
- Consumer Attorneys of California, 2000

Ms. Fong can be reached by email at: LFong@kaplanfox.com

GARY L. SPECKS practices primarily in the area of complex antitrust litigation. He has represented plaintiffs and class representatives at all levels of litigation, including appeals to the U.S. Courts of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court. In addition, Mr. Specks has represented clients in complex federal securities litigation, fraud litigation, civil RICO litigation, and a variety of commercial litigation matters. Mr. Specks is resident in the firm's Chicago office.

During 1983, Mr. Specks served as special assistant attorney general on antitrust matters to Hon. Neil F. Hartigan, then Attorney General of the State of Illinois.

Education:

- B.A., Northwestern University (1972)
- J.D., DePaul University College of Law (1975)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of Illinois (1975)
- U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Third, Fifth, Seventh, Ninth and Tenth Circuits
- U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, including Trial Bar

Professional affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- Illinois Bar Association
- Chicago Bar Association

Mr. Specks can be reached by email at: GSpecks@kaplanfox.com

WILLIAM J. PINILIS practices in the areas of commercial, consumer and securities class action litigation.

He has been associated with Kaplan Fox since 1999, and is resident in the firm's New Jersey office.

In addition to his work at the firm, Mr. Pinilis has served as an adjunct professor at Seton Hall School of Law since 1995, and is a lecturer for the New Jersey Institute for Continuing Legal Education. He has lectured on consumer fraud litigation and regularly teaches the mandatory continuing legal education course Civil Trial Preparation.

Mr. Pinilis is the author of "Work-Product Privilege Doctrine Clarified," New Jersey Lawyer, Aug. 2, 1999; "Consumer Fraud Act Permits Private Enforcement," New Jersey Law

Journal, Aug. 23, 1993; "Lawyer-Politicians Should Be Sanctioned for Jeering Judges," New Jersey Law Journal, July 1, 1996; "No Complaint, No Memo – No Whistle-Blower Suit," New Jersey Law Journal, Sept. 16, 1996; and "The Lampf Decision: An appropriate Period of Limitations?" New Jersey Trial Lawyer, May 1992.

Education:

- B.A., Hobart College (1989)
- J.D., Benjamin Cardozo School of Law (1992)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New Jersey (1992)
- Bar of the State of New York (1993)
- U.S. District Courts for the District of New Jersey, and the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

Professional affiliations:

- Morris County Bar Association
- New Jersey Bar Association
- Graduate, Brennan Inn of Court

Mr. Pinilis can be reached by email at: WPinilis@kaplanfox.com

CHARLES J. MOXLEY, JR. Charles Moxley practices in the areas of securities, insurance, commercial, corporate, and general civil litigation, as well as performing arbitration and appellate work. He has been associated with Kaplan Fox since 1994.

Mr. Moxley started his career as an associate at Davis Polk & Wardwell, and thereafter was a member of several New York City law firms. He has also served as a law professor at St. John's University School of Law and as an adjunct professor at New York Law School, teaching courses in the litigation area, including federal and New York practice, evidence, and professional responsibility. Mr. Moxley has been an arbitrator on numerous substantial arbitrations before the American Arbitration Association in the securities, contract, intellectual property, employment, construction, and other areas. He has also served extensively as a special master in New York Supreme Court.

He is the author of *International Law and Nuclear Weapons in the Post Cold War World* (Austin & Winfield, 2000) (with forewords by Robert S. McNamara, David W. Leebron, and Kosta Tsipis).

Mr. Moxley's pro bono activities include service as Chair of the Independent Judicial Screening Committee for the designation of candidates for New York City Civil Judge. He is also a member of the board of the Lawyers' Committee for Nuclear Policy and a former board member of the Lawyers Alliance for World Security. In addition, he has served as New York City Law Industry Chair for the March of Dimes Walkathon.

During law school, Mr. Moxley served as managing editor of the Columbia Journal of Transnational Law. He then served as law clerk to the Hon. Thomas F. Croake of the U.S.

District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Education:

- B.A., Fordham University (1965)
- M.A., Fordham University (1966)
- J.D., Columbia University School of Law (1969)

Bar affiliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1969)
- U.S. Supreme Court
- U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second and Seventh Circuits
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

Professional affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- Association of the Bar of the City of New York
- New York State Bar Association
- New York County Lawyers Association

Mr. Moxley can be reached by email at: CMoxley@kaplanfox.com

SUSAN R. SCHWAIGER joined Kaplan Fox in February 2007. She practices in the area of antitrust law. Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Schwaiger was Of Counsel with Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C. and Pomerantz Haudek Block Grossman & Gross LLP, practicing in the antitrust area, and an associate with Shearman & Sterling, where she practiced in all areas of litigation. During law school, Ms. Schwaiger was a member of the Brooklyn Law Review.

Education:

- B.S., University of Tennessee (1971)
- M.A., University of Kentucky (1973)
- J.D., Brooklyn Law School (1992)

Bar aff iliations and court admissions:

- Bar of the State of New York (1993)
- U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Eastern and Northern Districts of New York

Professional affiliations:

- American Bar Association
- New York State Bar Association

Ms. Schwaiger can be reached by email at: SSchwaiger@kaplanfox.com

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM N. RILEY

- 1. The Price firm has historically represented and/or continues to represent classes of landowners in the following cases: *Hinshaw v. AT&T Corp*, Civil Action No. 1P99-0549-CT/9 (S.D. Ind.); *Firestone v. APU*, Cause No. 29D03-9210-CP-500 (Boone County, Ind.); *Consolidated Rail Corp. v. Lewellen*, 682 N.E.2d 779 (Ind. 1997); *CSX Transportation, Inc., v. Clark*, 646 N.E.2d 1003 (Ind. Ct. App. 1995); *Dickerson v. Worldcom*, Cause No. IP96-1311-C-M/S (S.D. Ind.); *Hash v. United States*, Civil Action No. CV99-324-S-MHW (D. Id.); and *Schneider v. United States*, Civil Action No. 6:99-CV-451 (E.D. Tex.).
- 2. The Price firm successfully served as counsel to objecting class members in Hefty v. All Other Members of the Certified Settlement Class, 680 N.E.2d 843 (Ind. 1997), and as counsel for Amici Curiae Indiana Landowners with Land Underlying or Adjacent to Abandoned Railroad Rights of Way in Calumet National Bank v. American Telegraph & Telephone Co., 682 N.E.2d 785 (Ind. 1997).
- 3. Price Waicukauski & Riley currently represents approximately twenty (20) welfare and benefit funds in a putative class actions of third party payers in litigation regarding unlawful and excessive drug pricing in the Vioxx and Celebrex/Bextra multi-district litigation, Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare and Benefit Fund, et al., v. Merck, Inc., Cause No. 1:05-CV-0349-DFH-VSS; Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare & Benefit Fund, et al. v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., Cause No. 1:05-cv-1109-JDT-TAB.
- 4. I am a principal member of the Price Waicukauski & Riley, LLC, and I serve as a member of the Purchase Claims Committees for *In Re: VIOXX Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 1657, *In Re: Bextra and Celebrex Marketing Sales*

Practices and Product Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1699, In Re: Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Product Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1708 and In Re: Medtronic, Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Product Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1726.

- 5. I have also participated as a member of Castano litigation group which represented Gray Davis, Governor of California in the case of *Davis v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al.*, resulting in the recovery of \$12,503,486,255.37 for the State of California.
- 6. Price Waicukauski & Riley, LLC has substantial experience in litigating complex technical matters against large international corporations. In January of this year the Price Waicukauski & Riley, LLC, as co-lead counsel, settled a major case against Motorola, Inc. one week before trial. The details of this settlement are confidential. *Viastar Energy, LLC v. Motorola, Inc.*, U.S. Southern District of Indiana, Cause No. 1:05-cv-1095-DFH-WTL.

I HEREBY AFFIRM UNDER PENALTIES OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING REPRESENTATIONS ARE TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

William N. Riley